Official newsletter of the Chess Federation of Canada

January 2010

Editor: Tony Ficzere

Publication is the 21st of each month. Deadline for submissions is the 15th of each month. Games are to be sent in pgn format. Photos should be in jpeg format. Articles should be in electronic format, however fax and mail articles are allowed Email: tficzere@telus.net Fax: 403-568-7178 Mail: Tony Ficzere, 58 Martin Crossing Court NE, Calgary, AB, T3J 3P3 Phone: 403-568-2773 Copy Editor: Mr. X All articles not otherwise credited are written by the Editor. Opinions are those of the writers and do not represent the opinions of the Editor or the Chess Federation of Canada. No trees were harmed in the production of this newsletter September and March issues are provided free courtesy of the Chess Federation of Canada. Download free issues at www.chess.ca/CCN.htm © 2010 Chess Federation of Canada

Inside this issue:

Featured Articles

Premature Passing of a Weekend Warrior

FM Robert Hamilton

Wild Ride: The King's Gambit in the 1960s IM Lawrence Day

Other Articles

FSMIA

Steven Bolduc

▲ 4th Edmonton International

Tony Ficzere

The World Champions in Canada: Lasker (2) and Capablanca

Stephen Wright

Monthly Columns

- Chess Globe
- Across Canada
- Coming Events
- A Rating Lists

From the Editor

I am once again late. I can't blame it on technical problems like I had with the last issue. This time it was because I underestimated the amount of work. Part of the problem is that I have not allowed myself enough time between the submission deadline and the date of publication. With only 3 days between them, chaos is a certainty. So, I must change my submission deadline to the 15^{th} of each month and I will leave the publication date as it is on the 21^{st} of each month.

I was planning on including a section for letters to the editor, but I only received one. I have received countless emails with compliments and criticisms but they have mostly been one-liners without much meat. The one email that I did get where the reader makes a valid criticism, I replied to by email. I will include it in the next issue if I get other letters.

Edmonton hosted their 4th Edmonton International in December. There wasn't a norm to be had. Still, it was an opportunity, and it bodes well for the organizers in Edmonton. This type of opportunity is rare in North America. Calgary will be hosting the Calgary International this May where both GM and IM norms will be attainable. The event will be semi-open; you will need a minimum rating of 2200 CFC and a FIDE rating to play. Alberta has been very fortunate to be able to host such events. All of the credit goes to people like Ford Wong, John Quiring and Len Steele to name just a few. They have built a solid foundation for chess in Alberta. If it can be done here, it can be done in other provinces. It does require a considerable commitment by a number of dedicated volunteers, but in my opinion, the end result is well worth the effort.

I have managed to line-up Jonathan Berry for the next issue. We haven't discussed what he will write about. I will leave that up to him. I can always use more articles from our members. Don't be shy! Your article will get the attention it deserves, and you will receive a modest fee for your services. What have you got to lose?

Tony Ficzere



 \odot

Zugzwang

| | Canadi | an C | hess N | ews | | The Chess Federation of Canada | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Ad R | ates | Addit | tional | 356 Ontario Street, Suite 373 Stratford, Ontario, N5A 7X6 (519) 508-2362 | | | | | | | |
| 1 issue Issues add | | | | Email: info@chess.ca | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Web: www.chess.ca | | | | | | | | | |
| | page \$ | 150. | | \$ | 75.00 | Board of Directors | | | | | | | |
| | page \$ | 100. | | \$ \$ | 50.00 | | | | | | | | |
| | page \$ | 75. | | | 37.50 | President | Eric Van Dus | en p | president@ch | less.ca | | | |
| Clas | sified \$ | 40. | 00 | \$ | 20.00 | Vice President | Stijn DeKerpe | el s | tijn@rogers.o | com | | | |
| ¼ page CFC rated events in Coming Events are free | | | | | | Secretary | Lyle Craver | secretary@chess.ca | | | | | |
| /4 ŀ | CFC rated even | | - | | | Treasurer Maurice Smith m-smith@sympati | | | | | | | |
| | Additional issu | | | | | Junior Coordinator | on barron045@yahoo.com | | | | | | |
| | Rates are fo | | | | | FIDE Rep. & Zonal President | Hal Bond | al Bond halbond@sympatico.c | | | | | |
| | | | for layout | • • | , | Master's Rep. | Eddie Urquha | Eddie Urquhart ueddie@hotmail.com | | | | | |
| А | ds must be submi | tted | by the 15 ^t | ^h of th | ne month | Women's Coordinator | Bela Kosoian | ł | okosoian@sympatico.ca | | | | |
| | The aditor race | | tha right t | - limi | it ada | Rating Auditor | William Doul | bleday v | vdoubleday | doubleday@rogers.com | | | |
| | The editor rese | ives | the right t | .0 1111 | it dus | List of Governors & contacts | www.chess.ca/contactus.shtml | | | | | | |
| | Ads must | be p | aid in adv | ance | | Governors Letters | www.chess.ca/governorsletters.shtml | | | | | | |
| | Contact Tony Fig | | | | us.net | The Chess Federation of Canada (CFC) is a charitable organiza- | | | | | | | |
| | Phon | e 403 | -568-277 | 3 | | tion (10691 2058 RR) whose mandate is to promote and encourage the knowledge, study and play of the game of chess in Canada. | | | | | | | |
| Chess Game Symbols | | | | | The CFC organizes National Championships (Canadian Closed, | | | | | | | | |
| !! | Excellent move 🗄 Centre | | | | Canadian Women's Close Chess Championships), a | | | | | | | | |
| !? | Interesting move | × | Weak poir | nt | | on to the World Champio | | | | | | | |
| ?! | ?! Dubious move Only move | | | | team to the World Chess (every second year) since | | ach time | it has been | held | | | | |
| ? | ? Mistake | | | | | al Members | shin Rat | 265 | | | | | |
| ?? | Blunder | der 🔟 without | | Province | | Adult | Junior | Jr Part. | | | | | |
| ₫ | King | « | Queenside | | | | | \$ | \$ | \$ \$ | | | |
| Ŵ | Queen | >> | Kingside | | | British Columbia | | 36 | 24 | 12 | | | |
| Ś | Knight | V | Endgame | | | Alberta | | 41 | 27 | 13 | | | |
| Ê | Bishop | Ð | Pair of bish | ops | | Saskatchewan | | 43 | 25 | 12 | | | |
| Ï | Rook | | Bishops of | foppos | site colour | Manitoba | | 53 43 | 34 27 | 15 | | | |
| Å | Pawn | Bishops of same colour | | Ontario | | | | 14 | | | | | |
| \rightarrow | Attack | | | Quebec New Brunswick | 36 41 | 24 27 | 12 14 | | | | | | |
| f | Initiative | ± White has the upper hand | | Nova Scotia | 43 | 27 | 15 | | | | | | |
| $\overrightarrow{\leftarrow}$ | Counterplay | | | | Prince Edward Island | | | 24 | 12 | | | | |
| Δ | With the idea | 8 | Unclear | | | Newfoundland & Labrado | or | 39 | 26 | 14 | | | |
| 0 | Space | Ŧ | Black stand | ls some | what better | NWT, Yukon, Nunavut | | 36 | 24 | 12 | | | |
| ⊕ | Zeitnot (time trouble) ∓ Black has the upper hand | | | | USA 36 24 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | CFC – federal portion362412*Family memberships are available for family members of adult | | | | | | | | | |
| $\Leftrightarrow \text{ File (line)} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \text{ Draw}$ | | | | members at 50% of adult rate for each family member. | | | | | | | | | |
| $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ | Diagonal | ð | Passed paw | 'n | | *Effective May 1, 2009: | 1 | L-14 @ 20 | τ: Φ 1 | 0. Due 1 | | | |
| | - | $\overline{\odot}$ | Zugzwang | | | Single tournament memberships – Adult \$ 20, Junior \$ 10. Provincial portion of dues are \$ 4 and \$ 2 respectively | | | | | | | |

cial portion of dues are \$ 4 and \$ 2 respectively.

January 2010

January 2010

Premature Passing of a Weekend Warrior *By Robert Hamilton*

On November 21, Canadian chess lost one of its great weekend warriors with the premature death of 42 year old Michael Schleifer who died unexpectedly of a brain aneurism. For nearly three decades Michael was a regular participant in Québec and Ontario tournaments and he was close friends with many of Canada's top players.

I first met Michael in 1986 at his inaugural Canadian Closed in Winnipeg. We became friends during the post mortem of our first game and over the years he visited my home for multi-day stays at least twenty-five times. When Mike lived in Montréal and Québec City, I stayed with him many times, normally en-route to my native New Brunswick and sometimes during events. Mike worked for me at the World Chess Network for several years and more than once he travelled east to vacation at my cottage in New Brunswick.

Michael wasn't just my friend. He was a very close friend of my wife, Karen, and my son, Conrad. Conrad travelled to Toronto for a multi-day visit with Mike last summer and they were exchanging e-mails in the fall. His premature death is a sad blow for our entire family. I always thought Mike would live to a ripe old age.

Mike was a pensive, shy person. He was prone to insular moods where he preferred to be alone but could also be extremely engaging and colourful. He lived with a certain immunity to society's normal boundaries which made his views impractical, but very interesting.

Aside from chess, his biggest interest was probably Psychology. He paid a great deal of attention to what people were like and what the wording of their last sentence they spoke to him implied about them.

Mike was an avid reader of various forms of literature and a wordsmith. He loved to try and capture circumstances in one word, or at most a couple. He encouraged others to engage in similar dialogue when with him as if it were a game where the cutest phrase earned the most points.

Outside of chess, Mike never found his footing professionally. There are so many things he could have done, but he wasn't hard driven and he did have some social phobias that made things more difficult.

Michael was intimate with a small circle of friends and family. Born in Canada, his mother was Jamaican and his dad part Jamaican and part German. His dad passed away early on, but his mother was warm and caring all his life. In retirement she returned to Jamaica, with an outstanding offer for Mike to join her.



Michael Schleifer at the 2006 Canadian Closed

His main family contacts in Toronto were his wonderful sister, Jackie, and her husband Paul. Mike stayed with them from time to time and maintained regular contact.

In chess, he was close with Vinny Puri and Bill Peckford early on. Lawrence Day and Bryon Nickoloff were good friends for whom he had considerable respect. He enjoyed hanging out with Brad Thomson of Ottawa and later, John Bleau of Québec City, Bill Evans of Toronto and Eddie Urquart of Oakville. Mike was also fond of contemporary IM Igor Zugic whom he called "adequately respectful."

And, of course, there was the ever-engaging Julie, the love of his life. I don't think Mike was ever happier in his life than during the five years he spent with Julie.

In chess, Mike grew up in the 1980s Toronto scene. With mavens Nickoloff and Day around, the city produced some very impressive juniors. Among them, archrivals were Alex Kuznecov, Todd Southam and Vinny Puri. Mike was tremendously talented but Vinny kept edging him out and won three straight Canadian Juniors.

Beyond the junior years, Michael continued to improve and rose above his junior rivals. He attained a peak rating of 2494, earned the IM title and won a long list of Canadian events.

Following is a list of his accomplishments in Canadian and International Chess events:

| Ä | 2007 Toronto Open Champion | If Michael had been properly trained and studied chess he | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 7 | 2003 2 nd , Québec Invitational (Québec Champion) | could have become a Grandmaster. He had all the raw ma- | | | | | |
| - 7 | | terial – a great tactical eye – excellent time management – great nerves and a killer instinct. But he never seemed to care about theory and often placed himself at a disadvantage out of the gate. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| - 7 | | | | | | | |
| | USA | | | | | | |
| 7 | | In speed chess, Mike was even better. For years there was no speed tournament in Canada Mike couldn't win if he hit stride. We must have played thousands of speed games – I'm going to miss that guy. | | | | | |
| ~ | 2000 1 st , Toronto Open Championship | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| | Championship | Rather than present a vast number of different Michael | | | | | |
| Ä | 1999 2^{nd} , Canadian Championship; 6/9 (+5 =2 -2) | games, I looked for one that best captured his fighting | | | | | |
| 7 | at | style. The following is such a game. | | | | | |
| Ä | 1997-8 1 st , Eastern Ontario Chess Association Grand | Played at the height of his powers, Michael battles Québec | | | | | |
| | Prix | giant, Sylvian Barbeau. True to style, Michael dodges the- | | | | | |
| Ä | | ory accepting an inferior opening. When Sylvian makes a | | | | | |
| - | | questionable decision with 9.f4, the sparks begin to fly and a sustained tactical mess ensues. | | | | | |
| 7 | 1997 1 st , Eastern Ontario Open Championship | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | Notes by Robert Hamilton Barbeau, Sylvain Schleifer, Michael 2001 Québec Open Benoni Defence [A43] 1.e4 e6 2.d4 c5 3.d5 집f6 4.집c3 d6 5.집f3 e5?! This is typical opening play of Mike. Black transposes to a Queen's Pawn opening down a tempo to avoid Barbeau's | | | | | |
| 7 | 1996 1 st , Eastern Ontario Open Championship | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| | 1992 1 st , Toronto Open Championship | knowledge of e4 openings. This structure is more com- monly arrived at via 1.d4 c5 2.d5 e5 | | | | | |
| | 1981-2 Ontario Under-16 Champion; 5/5 | | | | | | |
| 7 | 1901 2 onario onder to onampion, 970 | 6. | | | | | |
| | | The Knight begins it's journey to the strong c4 square. | | | | | |
| | | 6 倉e7 7.a4 | | | | | |

Julie Trottier



2000 Québec Ladies Champion Julie Trottier

Slightly unconventional. Black is trying to save a tempo by moving his Knight from d7-f8-g6 before castling so that he will not have to move his rook from f8 later to clear the path. The drawback is that White can use moves such as g3 and h4 to render the Knight vulnerable on g6.

8. 2c4 2f8 9.f4?!



Barbeau goes for broke but in so doing justifies Black's play. A natural and strong alternative was 9. ge2 when after 9... 句g6 10.h4! is very strong since Black's Knight cannot go to f4 because of its capture followed by the strong [™]d2. After 10.h4, Black would be in danger of being caught in a bind.

9...exf4 10.e5 ②g4 11.奠xf4?!

After this, Black seizes the initiative. White could level the position in the variations that follow after 11. 创6 盒d6 12. \$b5 which was probably the best objective decision.

11...Øg6

And, just like that, Mike arrives at the kind of messy position he thrives on.

12.e6!

Barbeau astutely recognizes that he doesn't have time for the luxurious 違g3 when White's development is severely impaired due to many pawn advances and three tempi expended on the c4 Knight.

12...0-0!



I spend twenty five years trying to avoid positions like this against Mike! Michael thrives in complexity– he plays fast and does not wear down from too much analysis.

13.\$xd6

After 12. Wxg4 fxe6 Black regains the piece because of the double threats on d5 and f4. After either [™]xg4 or the game line, Black holds an edge. It's interesting to note that Michael's moves have all been natural and easy to choose whereas Barbeau has had to sift through a vast array of alternatives.

13...fxe6!?

White fighting for equality as well.

14.\$g3?!

After 14.\u00e2xe7 \u00e4xe7 15.\u00e4xg4 exd5 16.\u00e4e2, Black's edge is tiny.

14...exd5?!

to f6, g5 or h4 kept the pressure on White in a very complicated position. Now White has full, but messy equality. 15.營xd5+ 營xd5 16.②xd5 鼻g5 17.②c7



Michael has correctly calculated that the Rook on b8 is not exposed to dangers from the g3 Bishop. The position is still very complicated.

17...,罩b8 18.h3 2h6 19.2d6 公f5

19.... h8 is more precise.

20.皇c4+ 李h8 21.例xf5?

White could have gained a tempo and a small edge with 21.0-0 since Black's best move would have been ... \$d7 after which the f5 capture was possible anyway.

25. Exf1 Ee8 26. Ef7 Ee7 27. Ef3 &d4 28. &d6 Ee8 29. 2g5?



A blunder after a long sequence of tactical play. After 29. 堂c5 堂e5 the position remains complicated but White should be able to hang on. Now Mike seizes his opportunity.

29...h6 30.\$\f7+ \$\phih7

Suddenly Black holds the extra pawn, a4 is weak and the Knight on f7 is not well placed.

31.b3 Ze1!

White's King is very awkward now!

Making it a little easier on White. Moving the dark Bishop 32. 23 24 33. Ef1 Ee3+ 34. 2h2 2d3! 35. Ef3 2xc4

36.¤xe3



After 36.bxc4 \arrowed e2! White would be down a pawn with a weak King and queenside. Now, with the two minors for a Rook, it's easy.

Memories of Michael

Vinny Puri

Michael Schleifer's death has been a glum reminder for me of just how far removed those carefree teenage years of playing blitz chess and ping pong seem from today. The memories are still fresh.

Mike and I shared many weekend afternoons and evenings keeping ourselves out of trouble by competing, whether it was poker, chess or table tennis. He was a very confident guy who did not like to lose at anything. Over the years, we played countless number of blitz games and several tournament games together. There was never a dull moment and it was always enjoyable whether I won or not. I credit Mike with a lot of my own success because rivals like him and Todd Southam forced me to improve or be left behind. Quite often in life, it's your competition that determines the limits to your success and skill.

Our intense battles culminated in a very tense game that we played with the stakes being very high. During the 1986 Canadian Junior in Toronto, Mike and I took our games to another level against some very good competition. His score of 9/11 would have handily won the tournament in almost any other year. I played probably the best tournament of my life and scored 10/11 to win first place. My win over Mike that year was a crazy battle that ultimately would decide the tournament winner and the right to represent Canada at the world juniors in Gausdal, Norway. That game and the tournament could have gone either way. There are many memories I have of Mike, his mannerisms and expressions. He was a very unique individual who certainly left an impression on people during his teenage

years. If his talent could have been nurtured a bit better, there's no telling how far he could have gone in chess and in life. He will be notably missed on the Canadian chess scene.

Vinny Puri is a three time Canadian Junior Champion having won the crown 1985, 1986 and 1987. In the 1987 at the World Junior Championship in the Philippines turned in a spectacular result, tying for 6^{th} . Vinny currently works in Brampton as a medical doctor who is a specialist in Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology.

Billy Peckford

I first met Michael at the Canadian Junior Championship in Winnipeg, 1984. Michael would have won that tournament most years as he was far superior to everyone in the field, with the exception of Vinny Puri. I remember at the time thinking that everyone except Vinny lost the tournament but for those of us who never stood a chance it was no big deal. For Michael it must have been very tough. Interestingly I never heard Michael complain about losing that tournament and throughout his life I never heard him complain about losing games, bad luck or virtually anything else. I wonder if his life would have been different had we won that tournament, but maybe that is silly.

The following summer I visited Toronto and gave Michael a call. We became fast friends and hung out a great deal over the next several years. My main memories are of playing speed chess with Michael on Gould Street (the chess corner) at all hours of the evening. Michael was a prolific speed chess player and would routinely give opposing players 5-1 time odds and still come out on top, much to the pleasure of a large crowd of onlookers. Michael and I also discovered a mutual fondness for the game of poker and regularly played a fairly big money game given that we were kids with no money. Our staple game of poker was called 65 and featured many more complications than today's popular Texas Hold'em game. As with chess, Michael excelled in complications and was able to play well even while playing extremely quickly. Given the surging popularity and money in poker today it seems we were ahead of our time.

I don't remember the exact chronology but I invited Michael to visit Nova Scotia and much to my surprise he took the very long bus ride from Toronto to Halifax. The fact that Michael made that trip meant he was a true friend and not just someone who would hang out with me in Toronto when I was in town. Once again we played endless games of speed chess, I introduced him to that mecca of chess known as the Bluenose chess club, he made new friends (Gord Mazur), we found new poker players to relieve of their money and generally enjoyed the lively Halifax bar scene.

Michael probably seemed aloof or unapproachable to

many. In his early years he even seemed to purposely put up a standoffish front. In a display of eccentricity, Michael spent an entire summer in his late teens walking around Toronto wearing no shoes. Despite the initial impressions he may have made, Michael attracted many friends who came to know his wit (always able to sum up a situation in a few words), his imagination (regularly displayed on a chessboard) and his loyalty as a friend. Michael did have a stubborn streak in him and I recall many times when we would have a standoff over some minor issue, though these standoffs never impacted our friendship. Michael and I played literally thousands of games of speed chess which given his superiority also amounted to hundreds of hours of lessons for me. While I was always a sound positional player, playing Michael inspired me to try to add more imagination into my game.

When a person becomes a strong chess player, there is often a debate about whether the person has "talent for the game" or simply acquired their skill through hard work. Michael had talent. While I am sure Michael also worked hard on his game, one area where Michael showed little interest, especially in his younger years, was the study of opening theory. It seems today that many young players are able to reach great heights in the game through extensive study and memorization of opening theory. Given the decades of modern chess experience, and the advent of extraordinarily strong chess computers, today opening theory can extend well into the middle game and even into the endgame. This aspect of chess certainly rewards hard work but as I often found in my encounters with Michael hard work only takes you so far.

I played Michael perhaps a dozen times in tournament play and through my own opening preparation I routinely obtained large advantages out of the openings. Unfortunately Michael would typically stray far enough from known theory that I would soon find myself on my own, and then the trouble would start. As we emerged from the openings, my heart would beat fast with anticipation of my impending victory over Michael. This would be a great accomplishment because Michael was always the better player. Unfortunately, as the middlegame proceeded, my advantage typically slipped away as Michael out-maneuvered me from his inferior opening position. As the games wore on, my heart would beat fast with fear of losing instead of anticipation of winning. Most of all I was always amazed and deeply discouraged at Michael's talent for turning the tables on me.

I have been out of the tournament chess scene for most of the last decade but I am hoping to return to chess in my older years and renew old friendships. I pictured Michael, and I playing games against young opponents who are armed with vast amounts of modern opening theory. Michael would quickly find himself in an inferior position. His opponent would look on in delight at his opening advantage and his heart would beat fast with the anticipation of victory. But Michael and I would glance at each other knowingly. Michael now had his opponent right where he wanted him. Alas, if and when I return to the chess circuit my old friend will not be there. He will be missed.

Bill Peckford grew up in Nova Scotia and became the strongest Nova Scotia player ever. At the end of the 1980s, Bill relocated to Toronto where he turned in his best results which included regularly defeating many of Canada's top players. Bill is currently fund manager of a very large and successful investment fund in Toronto.

John Bleau

I met Michael as one of his opponents at a simultaneous exhibition. I had stopped playing chess when Michael moved to Québec City, though I continued to follow it on the web. We hit it off immediately. I appreciated his lowkey humour, his absolute lack of meanness, and his loyalty. Where some friends indulge in a bit of schadenfreude at our expense, his support was total and genuine. This, rather than any particular instruction he gave me, was responsible for the best chess performance in my life.

Michael wanted to play in a Toronto tournament and asked me to go along. I had not played in some six years but "what the hell," I thought, "let's go." His presence imbued me with a touch of hubris that was sensed by the organizer who put me into a stronger section! Though I was one of the lowest-rated in the 1800-2000s, I ploughed through it and reached the final round half a point behind my opponent's perfect score. The game was very complicated and tense. Michael took a stroll from his top section game and stood behind me, looking at my board, unaware that I could see him in a mirror. His face was serious as he was taking in my position, then the slightest of grins appeared... and all was well in our little Chess.

John Bleau is a long time chess aficionado from Québec City. Never one to shy away from adventure, John spent nearly a year in India and later wrote a book about it. Later he spent nearly as long sailing in the Atlantic. Recently, Michael spent some time living with John in Québec City where John runs a translation business.

Lawrence Day

I first got to know Mike during week-long seminars that the OCA organized circa 1982. Held at the Toronto Chess Club, it was patterned after Keres 1975 visit with the method of a classical time-control clock simul followed by in-depth analysis of the games. Mike was shy and didn't speak much except about variations, but his potential seemed among the most promising (his junior rivals included Deen Hergott, Alex Kuznecov and Todd Southam). Then I watched Mike's progress up the Toronto Chess Club speed rating list. Each week's performance seemed better

than the last. He had very steady nerves and didn't get chael's formative years as a player. Michael was one of many fortunate Toronto juniors who benefited from having psyched. We played for the first time in the 1983 Toronto Closed Lawrence in the same city. where my Benko Gambit produced a tactical trick. But he continued to improve and by 1990 at the Labour Day Open Eddie Urquhart he held a 'must-draw' situation, defanging my King's Gambit. It was with the deepest sadness that I heard of Michael's passing in December 2009. Michael was like a big brother □ Day, Lawrence (2367) to me and would always look out for my well being. He ■ Schleifer, Michael (2369) thought more about his friends and family then he did Labour Day Open Toronto, 1990 about himself. King's Gambit [C36] I met Michael during the 2001 Canadian Closed Champi-1.e4 e5 2.f4 d5 3.exd5 exf4 4.2f3 2f6 5.2b5+ 2d7 6.2c4 onship in Montréal. This was my first Canadian Closed and يe7 7.0-0 يُوع 8.월c3 0-0 9.d4 c6 10.dxc6 원xc6 11.원e2 I was a little nervous about coming to Montréal for the first ≌c8 12.臭b3 ④h5 13.c3 臭d6 14.凹d3 g6 15.臭d5 臭b8 time. As a young man from Nova Scotia, I didn't know 16.奠e4 邕e8 17.h3 邕xe4 18.hxg4 邕xe2 19.鬯xe2 包g3 anyone outside the province and the worst part was that I 20.營b5 ②xf1 21.查xf1 營d7 22.營g5 ②d8 23.a4 ②e6 didn't speak a word of French! 24.營b5 營e7 25.臭d2 a6 26.營b3 營d7 27.邕e1 ②g7 28.c4 Michael and I were introduced when we met in Round 2. h5 29.gxh5 ②xh5 30.c5 ②g3+ 31.查g1 ②f5 32.營c4 查f8 33.b4 邕d8 34.鼻c3 包e3 35.鬯a2 包d5 36.鼻d2 空g7 37.鬯b3 After being convincingly out-played, the humble IM showed me where I went wrong and apologized for his f6 38.b5 g5 39.2d3 2h8 40.c6 bxc6 41.bxc6 2xc6 42.2f5 win! To make me feel better, he invited me to his home in ②e3 43.奠xe3 fxe3 44.鼍xe3 鼍e8 45.鼍b3 奠f4 46.查f2 鼍e4 47.骂c3 鬯xc3 48.鬯xe4 鬯b2+ 49.鬯e2 臭g3+ 50.壹e3 鬯b3+ Montréal where I was instantly taken in by the brotherhood 51. 查d2 鼻f4+ 52. 查e1 鬯xa4 53. 鬯e7+ 查g6 54. 鬯e4+ 查f7 of chess players. Michael's place was a regular hangout for 55.營h7+ 杏e6 56.營g8+ 杏d6 57.營f8+ 杏e6 58.營g8+ 杏e7 several of Canada's top chess players during big tournaments. We would often play speed chess and poker until all 59.營g7+ 杏e6 60.營g8+ ½-½. hours of the evening and talk about life in general. Michael In 1992, he won our up-and-down, last-round game from was a philosopher at heart and had many creative and colthe Toronto Open. At the 1996 Canadian Closed, he was orful ideas on life in general. It was then that Michael and I winning a $\underline{\hat{x}}\underline{\hat{x}}$ + Ξ +4 vs $\underline{\hat{x}}$ + Ξ +4 with a choice of good became close and we had been friends ever since. moves but fell for a tactical trick, the old 'dizziness-due-to-I think many chess players misunderstood Michael to be success' stuck up or self centered. In fact, he was exactly the oppoproblem. Our last slow game I remember was played at the site when you got to know him. In my opinion, he was one Concordia Club in Kitchener during the 1996 Ontario of the most loyal, kind and trustworthy individuals that I Open. have ever met. Having already been knocked out of the fight for first (by Eduardo Teodoro IV) we had commiserated with, staying My fondest memories of Michael were his laughter and up all night at Robert Hamilton's place, babbling, analyzing good humor! There were times when we would laugh at and waxing nostalgic. Sleepily we arrived next morning to something silly which would turn into an all out tear fest. find we were paired with each other. Like in many games Michael always knew how to enjoy life and he loved his in speed and active tournaments we debated this variation friends and family dearly. of the Old Indian. As a chess player, Michael had an amazing talent for finding deep and hidden resources in any given position. I al-□ Schleifer, Michael ways enjoyed his post mortems when he would show some ■ Day, Lawrence of the creative possibilities that didn't get played. I think he **Ontario Open, 1996** would have become a GM if he had lived outside of Can-Old Indian Defence [A53] ada and had the proper incentives in place. 1.d4 2f6 2.c4 d6 3.2c3 \$f5 4.\$g5 2bd7 5.f3 h6 6.\$h4 It seems unfair to me that Michael was taken from us at **逸h7 7.e4 e5 8.d5 逸e7 9.逸f2 c5 10.逸d3 ②h5 11.g3 g6** such an early age. I will always remember him and miss 12.¹² c2 0-0 with a draw agreed to catch some sleep. A him dearly. Rest in peace my friend. genuine nice guy, calm when others were storming, Eddie Urquart grew up in rural Nova Scotia. He attended thoughtful, tranquil...I have pleasant memories of university in Halifax where he became the dominant player. Mike. After graduation, he relocated to Ontario and soon joined the ranks of Canada's top players. Eddie currently resides

Lawrence Day is one of Canada's legendary players and was the recognized guru of Toronto chess throughout Mi-

Royal Bank.

in Mississauga and works as a Financial Planner with the

Wild Ride: The King's Gambit in the 1960s

By Lawrence Day

Prelude

A tournament at Mar del Plata, held in March of 1960, provided the first chapter of one of the great rivalries of chess history. Bobby Fischer, 17, of the United States shared first with Boris Spassky, 23, of the Soviet Union. At 13.5/15 they finished the event in a class by themselves, two points ahead of established wizard David Bronstein. Their muchanticipated second-round game was their first of many intense encounters.

Knowing what we now know of how history would unfold, it is perhaps difficult to appreciate that in 1960 both future champions were feeling somewhat washed up. Fischer had won three U.S. Championships, but in what he really cared about, the world title quest, he had done no better in his 1959 Candidates attempt than the teenage Spassky in the previous 1956 cycle. These prodigies needed seasoning, and they knew it. As well as veterans Mikhail Botvinnik, Vasily Smyslov and Paul Keres, their contemporary Mikhail Tal was ahead of them, and their own talents were apparently matched by Efim Geller, Tigran Petrosian and Viktor Korchnoi.

Spassky had missed the 1958 Interzonal after losing to Tal in the critical game of the Soviet Zonal. We know now that Spassky evolved his style into the 'universal' player, adapting easily to any type of position. One category missing, that he set about mastering in the late 1950s, was the hypercomplicated, head-spinning tactical melees in which Tal excelled. These were irrational positions, often with odd material imbalances, unclear positions that provided choices of unclear future positions.

Tal, as if by some magical hypnosis or good bluffing, seemed to have many of his opponents assuming that he was seeing some trick down the line that they were missing. He did have fantastic vision, fast and deep, but also he played some mind-benders that were only refuted by much later analysis.

Intuition and practicality were required for this style. Some players simply avoid irrational positions; they like to have everything under control, risk set for minimal and no strain on the nerves. But near the summit, how could such a limitation allow one to become world champion?

No, to attain 'universal' style, Spassky needed experience in the wild chess.

Bobby Fischer closely studied the Soviet literature and

would have noticed the game J. Muratov - Boris Spassky Tallinn 1959 with the not-quite-dead Latvian Counter-Gambit. It is an obscure line of ill repute, but one which had stung Bobby at his U.S. Junior in 1955.

□ Muratov, J ■ Spassky, Boris Tallinn, 1959 Latvian Gambit [C40]

1.e4 e5 2.@f3 f5

As played in Riga.

3.②xe5 營f6 4.d4

Smyslov's 4.2c4 fxe4 5.2c3 2g6 6.d3 looks more promising to me.

4...d6 5.Ôc4 fxe4 6.Ôc3 幽g6



7.≝e2!?

Old theory had 7.d5, retaining the 彙f4 option, from Spielmann-Nimzovich, Semmering, 1926. Fischer at 13, playing against Viktors Pupols, experienced queenside cluttered after 7.②e3 徵f6 8.彙c4 c6 9.d5 彙e7 10.a4 ②bd7 11.a5 ⑳e5 12.彙e2 0-0 13.0-0 彙d7 14.塗h1 塗h8 15.㉒c4 ㉒fg4 with Black having everything he could hope for from the Latvian...0-1, 44.

7...විf6 8.f3 විc6 9.දූe3 දූe7 10.0-0-0 0-0 11.d5 විb4 12.a3



12...a5!? The positional Knight Gambit is very much in the style of Tal. Can it be sound? Note that if it isn't accepted, then Black may be threatening ...b7-b5 as the 公/c3 is overloaded guarding d5.

13.axb4

Taking the horse is Trojan spirit, but otherwise its pressure on d5 and c2 is annoying.

13...axb4 14. 2b1 봄a1 15. 2cd2 exf3 16.gxf3 2xd5



17.වe4

The test is 17.②b3 ②xe3 18.③xa1 彙g5! when Black is down a Rook but has a hyperactive army. 19.h4 彙h6 20.③d2



17...②xe3 18.營xe3 皇e6 19.鼍g1 營f7 20.②ed2

Alternatives:

20. ģg2 骂fa8 21. f4 奠a2 22. 垫d2 is another weird balance.

20....皇f6 21.皇d3 筥a2 22.筥de1

Shedding a pawn.

22....拿xb2+ 23.空d1 拿d5 24.骂g5 拿e5 25.骂eg1 骂a1



26.¤xe5?

Eliminating the well-centralized B-pair is sensible but the economical method was 26.臣f5! 愈f6 27.臣xd5 臣xb1+ 28.②xb1 營xd5 29.②d2 with a game.

26...dxe5 27.營xe5 罩a5 28.杢c1 b6 29.營d4 營e7 30.營g4 查h8 31.h4 罩e8 32.公e4 b3

Now it is clearly winning.

White resigned.

A simple logic suggests that if the Latvian is playable then so too must be the venerable King's Gambit. Spassky started with the wildest option:

□ Spassky, Boris ■ Furman, Semyon Tallinn, 1959 King's Gambit [C33]

1.e4 e5 2.f4 exf4 3.心c3!?

Is this sound? Spassky had developed a famously unreadable poker face. Surely if it weren't sound he wouldn't have risked it? Furman, who was a trainer of junior stars, might have sought some clue in Boris' expression. But no. He had the same calm expression whether initiating some ten move combination or blundering a piece. Playing him in 1971 I noticed how unnaturally still he was, and that he moved his pieces with an uncanny accuracy such that they seemed to arrive exactly dead centre in the squares. None toppled, wobbled nor needed adjusting. Spassky's zenny calm was so serene it was spooky.

3...曾h4+ 4.魯e2 d5 5.②xd5 鼻d6

Primarily this development guards c7. Sacrificially sharpest is 5... 逸g4+ 6. ②f3 ②c6!? allowing 7. ②xc7+ 查d8 8. ③xa8 ②e5 when 9.h3 逸xf3+ 10.gxf3 營g3 11.d4 營xf3+ 12. 查e1 ends in perpetual check, but in this case White can try for more with 9. 營e1 ③xf3 10. 營xh4+ ③xh4+ 11. 查e1! with some endgame chances.

6.d4 違g4+ 7.②f3 ②c6 8.e5 0-0-0 9.皇xf4 ②ge7

Perhaps 9...Bb4 is a move.

10.c4



A fine chaos. In those days, the normal time limit was 40 moves in 150 minutes. Even at that leisurely pace Black has to calculate very deeply to thread his way through this maze of complex alternatives. Furman went instantly wrong.

After 10...&b4 11.a3 $\textcircledaxd5$ 12.cxd5 $\bar{m}xd5$ 13. $\bar{m}e3$ &e714. $\textcircledaxh4$ &xd1 15. $\bar{m}xd1$ &xh4 16.g3 &e7 17.&g2 $\bar{m}dd8$ 18.d5 $\bar{m}as$ 19. $\bar{m}d4$ White will have all the fun. Possible is 10... $\bar{m}b8$!? with the point that 11.g3 $\bar{m}b5$ 12.&g2 f6 gives counter-play. But 11.&g3 $\bar{m}b6$ 12. $\bar{m}f2$ &b4 13.&f4 $\bar{m}b5$ 14. $\bar{m}xb4$ $\bar{m}xb4$ 15.&e2 &xf3 16.&xf3 $\bar{m}g6$! and Black is holding on since 17. $\bar{m}b3$ can be met by ... $\bar{m}e2$ + to exchange Queens.

11.exd6 ②fxd4+ 12.空d3! 凹h5 13.鼻e2 ②e6 14.鼻g3 cxd6

Now, with care, White is winning.

15.b4 閏he8 16.閏e1 ②c7 17.壺c3 營h6 18.營c1 ②xd5+ 19.cxd5 罝e3+ 20.愈d3 營f6+ 21.壺c2 罝xe1 22.愈xe1 愈xf3 23.dxc6 愈xc6 24.愈c3 營f2+ 25.營d2 愈a4+ 26.壺b2 營h4 27.愈xg7 查b8 28.g3 營g4 29.愈f6 罝c8 30.罝c1 罝e8 31.b5

Black resigned 1-0.

Spassky was learning that his remaining calm amidst the chaos seemed to induce his opponents to blunder. Probably Fischer had looked at this game in his preparations. But playing an ancient wild variation as a surprise is a quite different tactic from repeating the same variation against someone alerted beforehand.

Main Event

Spassky, Boris
 Fischer, Bobby
 Mar del Plata, 1960
 King's Gambit [C39]

1.e4 e5

In his lifetime record, Fischer played Black in this position

Chess & Art

Man Ray (1890-1976)

Emmanuel Radnitzky was an American conceptual artist who carried a life long passion for chess. Man Ray was well known for his contributions to the Dada and Surrealist movements in art. He considered himself a painter first, even though he is most recognized for his photography. Man Ray was truly an artist of all mediums.



Man Ray Chess Set (1946)

seven times making a 4–3 plus. Aside from this game he drew 4 Classical (3.. & c5) Ruy Lopez, won once against Bill Addison with the rare Chase Variation $(3...a6\ 4.\& a4\ b5)$ and once against Edmar Mednis' Italian Game.

2.f4 exf4 3.创f3 g5

Returning to the 19th century's main line, Bobby wants to hold the pawn. 3...d5 had been the popular modern solution to the KGA until the 1960s.

4.h4

Kieseritsky's Gambit is more positional than the attacking 4.&c4. The idea is that first White makes sure that Black's pawn chain will have holes. If he delays h2-h4 then ...h7-h6 and ...&g7 will support the chain; the timing is critical.

4....g4 5.②e5 创f6

5...d6 6. 2xg4 2f6 is a modern treatment.

6.d4

This positional continuation of Philidor, punctuated with an exclam by Rubinstein, avoids the sharper 6.\2c4 d5 7.exd5 when Black can choose between:

A) 7... 2d6 8.d4 2h5 9. 2c3 when Black should probably follow Anderssen's understanding with 9...0-0!? since the supposed improvement 9... 遵e7?! 10.0-0 皇xe5 runs into the surprising 11. 2b5! to guard d4 and make 罩e1 a powerful threat, e.g. 11...0-0 12.dxe5 a6 13. 2d4! found in 2004 in Australia by David Flude, or 11...a6 12. 罩e1 2d7 13.d6! cxd6 14.dxe5 0-0 15. 2xd6 with advantage (analysis by IM Stefan Bucker).

B) the less analysed 7...違g7!? which Paul Keres had used against a young Robert Byrne in the USA-USSR match at Moscow in 1955. Likely both Spassky and Fischer knew that game well. It went: 8.d4 公h5 9.0-0 營xh4 10.營e1 營xe1 11.鼍xe1 0-0 12.公c3 公d7 13.公b5 c6 14.公c7 cxd5 15.公xa8 dxc4 16.違d2 公xe5 17.dxe5 違f5 18.公c7 違xc2 19.鼍ac1 違d3 20.公d5 b5 ...0-1, 63.

A rarer option is famous from a casual game Morphy– Anderssen, Paris, 1858, which explored the direct 6.2xg42xe47.d32g38.2xf42xh1(8...2e7+??)9.2e2+2e710.2f6+2d811.2xc7+2xc712.2d5+2d813.2xe72xe7



Morphy–Anderssen continued: 14.[@]g4? d6 15.[@]f4 Ξ g8 16.[@]xf7 &xh4+ 17.[©]d2 Ξ e8 18.[©]a3 [©]a6 (Also 18..Ng3 is a slight disadvantage) 19.[@]h5 &f6 20.[@]xh1 &xb2?? (A blunder decides. Necessary was 20..Bg5+! with a game) 21.[@]h4+ ©d7 22.[©]b1 &xa3 23.[@]a4+ 1-0.

6...d6 7.2d3 2xe4 8.\$xf4!?

Richard Reti wrote about this position: "Black is a pawn ahead, but his position is far from enviable because of the irreparable weakness of the now open f-file." Much less risky is Philidor's main line: 8. 🖗 c2 🖗 c7 9. 🏦 xf4 🏦 g7 10.c3 where Philidor's analysis went 10...h5 11.g3 d5 12. 🏦 g2 f5 13. 🖄 d2 🏦 c6 14.0-0 🏠 c6 15. 🖄 h2 0-0-0. Rubinstein later found an improvement, 14 🖾 c5! and an edge. But perhaps Black can also improve with 10... 🖄 c6 11. 🖄 d2 f5! giving some purpose to the otherwise useless backward f-pawn. This line was deeply analyzed and recommended by Bucker on *Chesscafe.com* in 2008. The German theoretician considers White should play *ye olde* 6. 🚉 c4 instead.

8...<u>\$g</u>7 9.2c3!?

Preparation or improvisation? The face doesn't tell. Fischer later punctuated 9. (2) c3? recommending 9.c3 (2) c7 transposing back to Philidor. However this would be a dangerous course for White as Black can avoid the Queen exchange by 9...0-0! 10. (2) c8 11. (2) xe4 (11. (2) c6 12. (2) xe4 (2) xe4 (2) c6 12. (2) xe4 (

To go postal, an example of the drawish tendencies of Philidor's theoretical endgame is this well played stand-off between CGMs Jonathan Berry and Danish ace Erik Bang in 1981: 9.c3 營e7 10.營e2 違f5 11.公d2 公xd2 12.營xe7+ \$\Delta xe7 13.\$\Delta xd2 公d7 14.\Delta e1+ \$\Delta f8 15.g3 \$\Delta b6 16.\$\Delta c2 \$\Delta d5 17.\$\Delta d2 \$\Delta f6 18.\$\Delta g2 \Delta e8 19.\$\Delta xb7 \$\Delta e4 20.\$\Delta c6 \Delta e7 21.\$\Delta xe4 \$\Delta xe4 22.\Delta hf1 \$\Delta e8 23.\$\Delta e3 \$\Delta d7 24.\$\Delta d2 \Delta b8 25.b3 \$\Delta b8 26.\$\Delta f4 \$\Delta f3 27.\$\Delta h5 \$\Delta h8 28.\$\Delta f4 \$\Delta g7 29.\$\Delta h5 1/2-1/2.

9...쵠xc3 10.bxc3 c5!?

Very concrete; he wants an extra pawn.

11.ዿe2 cxd4 12.0-0 乞c6 13.ዿxg4 0-0 14.ዿxc8 \(\mathbf{Zxc8}\) 15.\(\mathbf{W}g4 f5 16.\(\mathbf{W}g3 dxc3 17.\(\mathbf{Zae1}\)

Forget the pawns, Spassky completes his development.

17.... h8 18. ch1 ጃg8 19. ậx d6

(see next diagram)

A critical moment.

19...<u>\$</u>f8?!

This was a really difficult choice. With 19... 創格, Fischer



aimed to simplify the position and reduce the tension, but in so doing perhaps he lost much of his advantage. Suppose he had instead put his faith in centralization with 21. 奠e5+ 空g8 22. 奠g3 the judgment "and holds" given in M60MG was wrong stuff, a premature pessimism. M61MG corrects that error by looking one move deeper. After the further centralization 22... ¹⁰/₂d5! Black would dominate the board. On 23.a3, 23... \$\$ h8 renews the g-file threats. However it is not so easy if White answers 19... 倉d4 with 20. ②e5!? 習f6 21. 營h2 complicating matters. Fischer did not consider this possibility but Spassky probably did. Reducing to a double Rook endgame after 21... (2) xe5 looks quickly drawish but instead Black has 21... \[ce8! 22. \[xc6 bxc6 23.a3 c5 and if 24. \] f4 \[a6 which looks very good for Black.

20.奠e5+ 公xe5 21.鬯xe5+ 罩g7 22.罩xf5 鬯xh4+ 23.孛g1 鬯g4?

23...遵g3!! forces off the queens, a good trade for Black since White's is powerfully centralized. White cannot avoid the exchange since 24.營e2? 盒d6 is strong. However in the resulting endgame, White still has many drawing resources after 24.營xg3 罩xg3 by playing the active 25.②e5. One cannot say that 23...營g3, which was incidentally pointed out by Spassky in the post-mortem, would have forced a win. The error was earlier, but clearly Bobby had lost the thread.

24.骂f2 鼻e7

Possible was 24...b6

25.¤e4 🖞g5

25...[™]d1+ draws calmly.

26.營d4 邕f8??

A horrible blunder. Still best was 26...b6 with a theoretical pawn up but 27.罩ef4 逸c5 28.剑xc5 鬯xc5 29.鬯xc5 罩xc5 30.罩f8+ 罩g8 31.罩8f7 罩8f7 would be a draw.

27.¤e5!

Black definitely wishes he'd traded Queens for his has run out of squares.

27....骂d8 28.@e4 @h4 29.\frac{1}{1-0.

Aftermath

Bobby took this loss rather badly. The next year Larry Evans started a magazine American Chess Quarterly and Fischer contributed a goofy article A Bust to the King's Gambit for Vol. 1 Number 1, Summer, 1961, "In my opinion, the King's Gambit is busted. It loses by force." He advocated 3...d6! which he called the Berlin Defence Deferred. His point was to avoid Kieseritzky's h2-h4 which, he claimed, let White escape with a draw. He pumped himself up. General chess theory, at least in Reti's version of history, considered that the "romantic" treatment of the King's Gambit involved \$c4 and especially blowing Black up on the f7 square. And definitely sacrifices!! The "Romantics" gave away material, hunted the King and played for mate; but the "Scientists" were content with taking the centre, recovering the pawn or playing out endgames where a Queenside majority or good centralization were all that was left of White's initial initiative.

Perhaps these over-simplified categories serve instructional purpose, but Bobby, uniquely, turned it on its head and claimed that he himself was the first and only scientist while everyone else was a romantic!

After 1.e4 e5 2.f4 exf4 3.2 f3 d6!? he essentially considered only 4. gc4 rejecting 4.d4 g5 5.h4 g4 6. g1 because of 6... \$h6 but with no supporting analysis, just his assessment of "nothing for the pawn." Eventually in the 1970s, Albin Planinc broke that dam and the sharp line produced dozens of interesting games including a few of my own. Maybe Bobby was using reverse psychology and what he really wanted was to see a lot of King's Gambits? Or to tear apart his own future opponents by surprising them with it himself? It was certainly a giant psychological trap he had built for his editor Larry Evans. GM Evans had held his own with Bobby, drawing all three times in previous U.S. Championships, but when they met in the second round of the 1963 U.S. Closed, the very last opening that Evans expected to see from Bobby was the "busted" King's Gambit. Yet there it was on the board:

Fischer, Bobby Evans, Larry U.S. Closed, New York, 1963 King's Gambit [C33]

1.e4 e5 2.f4 exf4 3.gc4

This move had its great popularity in the 1890s after prodigy Rudolf Charousek beat both Lasker and Chigorin with it. Black has an unusually broad choice of sensible continuations but 3...②f6 is most popular. A 2008 example from the FIDE Grand Prix was Navara–Gelfand: 3.黛c4 ②f6 4.②c3 c6 5.黛b3 d5 6.exd5 cxd5 7.②f3

 $(7. \ @e2+may also be possible but 7.d4 is the common move. Noteworthy was the brilliancy Morozevich-Anand,$

Moscow, 1995, with 7.d4 彙b4 8. ②f3!? 0-0 9.0-0 奠xc3 10.bxc3 鬯c7 11. 鬯e1 ②c6 12. 鬯h4 ③e7 13. 奠xf4 鬯xc3 14. 奠d2 鬯c7 15. ③e5 ③f5 16. 鬯f4 奠e6 17. 奠b4 罥fc8 18.g4!? ③d6 19. 罥ae1! ⑤fe4 20.c4! dxc4 21. 奠c2 ⑤f6 22.g5 ⑤h5 23. 鬯f3 g6



24. ②xg6!! hxg6 25. 象xg6 fxg6 26. 鼍xe6 鬯f7 27. 鬯d5 ⑤f5 28. 鼍xf5! 1-0)

7...&d6 (rejecting the invitation to 7...d4?! 8.@e2+&e79.@c4! dxc3 10.@xf7+&d7 11.dxc3 and White seems to get a strong attack) 8.d4 &c6 (8...&e6 9.0-0 0-0 10.&e5&c6 11. $\&xf4 \equiv c8$ was equal in Short-Karpov, Najdorf Memorial 2000 ...1/2-1/2, 47) 9.0-0 &e6 10.&g5 0-0 (Improving on 10...h6 11. &xe6 fxe6 12. &xf4 &xf4 13. $\equiv xf4$ 0-0 14.@d3 @d6 15. $\equiv af1$ with slight pressure in Short-Nikolic, Euro-championship, 1997, ... 1-0, 41) 11.&xf4 h6 12.&xe6 fxe6 13.&e2 (Instead 13.@d3 looks like a slight edge) 13...&a5 14.c3 &xb3 now Navara played the very safe 15.@xb3 ...1/2-1/2, 28. The more ambitious option was 15.axb3, e.g., 15...&e4 16.@d3 &xf4 17.&xf4 @d618.&g6 $\equiv f6$ 19.&e5 but the chances would still be equal.

3...≝h4+ 4.⊈f1 d6

In my opinion this is a rather passive variation. Aside from vague similarities to Fischer's idea in the 1961 article there is little to commend it. 3...d6 4.d4 曾h4+ 5.查f1 ②c6 was the move order in Simon Williams – David Howell, a critical game in this year's British Championship: 6.②c3 彙g4 7.營d2 g5 8.g3 fxg3 9.查g2 營h5 10.hxg3 營g6 11.營xg5 (*The option 11.*ዿb5 0-0-0 12.ዿxc6 was possible but unnecessary. White stands well) 11...③xd4 12.營xg6 fxg6 13.ዿxg8 \arXg8 \arXg8 14.④d5 0-0-0 15.ዿg5 \arXg8 16.\arXh7 \arXg7 17.\arXh8 \arXg7 18.\arXh7 \arKg6 \arXg8 \arXg8 \arXg8 18.\arXh7 \arXg6 \arXg8 \ar

(see next diagram)

Eventual champion Howell had been finding one resource after another in a tough position. This counter–attack on the &/g5 produced enough complexity for White to get confused. It is an instructive position to try to work out in one's head because White must exactly calculate a six– move variation to complete the winning simplification. 19.&h6? (*The study–like exchanging sequence was*



19. &e3 @xc2 20. @xg4 @xe4 21. @f1 @xf1 22. @xf1@xe3+23. @xe3 @xe3 24. @xf8+ when White's reserveKnight, which has yet to budge from g1, becomes the decisive element in a winning endgame) 19. @xf6 20. &xf8@c8 21. &g7 @xh8 22. &xh8 @xc2 23. &xf6 @xa1. Black hasa pawn extra in the endgame but the opposite-colouredBishops foretell a draw...1/2-1/2, 43.

Black's more successful moves are 4...g5, 4...d5 and even the radical 4...b5 although after 4...b5 5.&xb5 Maroczy's 5...f5!? is likely a better choice than 5...&16 which appeared in a thematic exhibition game Short-Kasparov, London, 1993. The players hadn't chosen the opening; rather various unclear lines were tossed in a hat and selected along with the drawing of the player's colours. On his own, Kasparov would surely not have risked 4...b5, especially as he later said that he couldn't see any point to it at all. The game proceeded 4...b5 5.&xb5 &166 6.&163@h5 (*The problem with the more natural* 6...@h6 7.d3&h5 8.&h4! @g5 9.&15 c6 10.g4! &16 $11.<math>\boxtimes16$



11...cxb5 12.h4 鬯g6 13.h5 鬯g5 14.鬯f3 ②g8 15.彙xf4 鬯f6 16. ②c3 彙c5 17. ②d5! 鬯xb2 18. 奠d6! 奠xg1 19.e5 鬯xa1+ 20. 查e2 ③a6 21. ③xg7+ 查d8 22. 鬯f6+ ④xf6 23. 奠e7 mating in Anderssen-Kieseritzky, London, 1851, the famous 'immortal game'.) 7. ③c3 g5 8.d4 奠b7 9.h4 邕g8 10.查g1 gxh4? (better was 10...g4.) 11.邕xh4 鬯g6 12.鬯e2 ④xe4 13.邕xf4



Behold! A wonder Rook! 13...f5 14.创h4 幽g3 15.创xe4 and the World Champion resigned in disgust, later fuming dramatically on TV about the bogus counter gambit.

5.②c3

A concrete option is 5.d4 to meet ... 逸e6 by 6. 營d3 with potential forkage after 逸xe6 and 營b3 hitting e6 and b7, or 營b5+ hitting e8 and b7. A Black Queen on c8 would protect all these sensitive squares but she is otherwise occupied. Ivanchuk-Nikolic, Antalya, 2004, always looked better for White after 5... 逸e6 6. 營d3 ②f6 7. ②f3 營g4 8. ②c3 逸e7 9.h3 營g6 10. 逸xf4



Recovering the pawn while keeping the space advantage. Play went 10...0-0 11.鼍e1 ②h5 12.彙h2 ②g3+ 13.奠xg3 營xg3 14.②e2 營g6 15.③f4 營h6 16.g3 ③d7 17.查g2 ②b6 18.彙xe6 fxe6 19.詈hf1 c5 20.d5 鼍xf4 21.gxf4 營xf4 22.dxe6 罩f8 23.b4 罩f6 24.營b5 鼍xe6 25.bxc5 彙h4 26.③xh4 營xh4 27.營b3 d5 28.cxb6 1-0.

Krishnan Sasikiran usually plays 1.d4 but evidently he has an optional wild repertoire for 'must-win' situations like against Armenian Gabriel Sargissian at the Inventi tournament in Antwerp last summer. The King's Gambit, with its low draw likelihood, is ideal for such situations. Sasikiran played the direct 5.句f3 bothering the Queen while 彙c4-e2 was still an option. Black walked into this with 5...曾h5. In my understanding, 5...曾h6 retaining options of ...句g8-f6h5 or ...g7-g5 looks more flexible, e.g., 5.d4 句f6 6.句f3 曾h6 7.句c3 c6 8.e5 句h5 9.曾e1 d5 10.彙d3 彙e7 11.堂g1 g5 12.句e2 彙g4 13.曾f2 句d7 was a game in Max Lange-

Louis Paulsen, 1864.

Sasikiran-Sargissian went 5.勾f3 幽h5 6.d4 勾f6 7.勾c3 象e6 8.象e2 象e7 9.象xf4 0-0 10.h3 幽a5 11.幽d2 空h8 12.象d3 勾a6 13.a3 c6 14.空f2 勾h5 15.b4 幽d8 16.象h2 勾c7



This type of middlegame position is what the 'scientists' want from a Bishop's Gambit: the strong centre pawns and well-centralized pieces. White could prevent行-f5 by 17.g4 when②f6 is forced, but then White's King has somewhat less shelter and the Rooks still aren't in play. Instead Sasikiran centralized. 17.罝he1! f5 18.e5 ဋh4+ 19.㉒xh4 幽xh4+ 20.杳f1 幽xd4 21.㉒e2 幽h4 22.exd6! (Now White is winning) 22...f4 23.㉒d4 幽f6 24.幽f2 ဋxh3 25.dxc7 ဋg4 26.杳g1 幽d6 27.ဋe2 ဋxe2 28.\maxe2 ㉒g3 29.\maxel =1 \mathbf{E}f6 30.\mathbf{E}ad1 幽xc7 31.㉒e6 幽f7 32.㉒d8 幽g8 33.㉒xb7 ㉒f5 34.ဋxf4 \mathbf{E}af8 35.ဋe5 \mathbf{E}g6 36.\mathbf{E}d8 \mathbf{E}xd8 37.㉒xd8 幽d5 38.c4 幽d3 39.ဋh2 1-0.

On e7 the Queen will be handy for defence.

8.d4 এxc4 9.彎xc4 g5 10.e5 d5

Fischer's notes from the January 1964 *Chess Life* claimed 10...dxe5 11.dxe5 公d7 12.公e4 公xe5 13.公xe5 營xe5 14.彙d2 營d5! would equalize. After a further 15.營xd5 cxd5 16.公xg5 彙g7 17.彙xf4 White has the healthier pawn structure and lead in development, but Black is hanging on.

11.≝d3 ∕∆a6 12.⁄De2

This is the critical position.



12...②b4

Here Bobby annotated "12...f6 loses to 13.營f5 違g7 14.exf6 違xf6 15.違xf4! gxf4 16.②xf4 with a winning attack. It is important to repel white's Queen from its present diagonal." However this seems to be highly debatable. Consider the "winning attack": Black has to play 16...營f8 but then what?



The direct tactical sequence is $17.\Xi e1 + \bigtriangleup e7 = 18.\bigtriangleup h5$ but after $18...\pounds xd4! = 19.\bigtriangleup xd4 \cong xf5 + 20.\And xf5$ Black has the hidden resource 20...0-0! both unpinning his Knight and pinning White's. This turns things around and Black stays a piece ahead since White's cavalry gets custered.

13.8d1 0-0-0 14.c3 2a6 15.h4

Breaking up the pawn chain is thematic.

15...g4 16.🖄h2



16...h5?

Here definitely 16...f6! was required.

17.②xf4 營xh4 18.查g1 勾h6?!

White is also better after 18... 創h6 19. 创f1 鬯e7 20. 罩xh5 鬯d7 21. 创e3 but this is worse as the precarious 創/h6 becomes a target.

19.包f1 凹e7 20.包xh5 罩g8 21.包fg3 罩g6 22.包f4 罩g5 23.鼻e3 包c7 24.凹d2 罩g8 25.包fe2 f6 26.exf6 凹xf6 27.鼻xh6 鼻d6 28.罩f1 凹e6 29.鼻f4 罩de8 30.罩h6 鼻xf4 31.營xf4 營e7 32.罩f6 包e6 33.營e5 包g5 34.營xe7 罩xe7 35.罩f8+ 罩xf8 36.罩xf8+ 1-0.

The King's Gambit was an integral factor in Duncan Suttles forceful repertoire when he went on a streak of U.S. weekenders in 1965. He played the Breyer Gambit with consistent success, but usually against weaker opposition. However his USCF rating zoomed so high that he was invited to the 1965 U.S. Closed. Duncan was a dual U.S.-Canada citizen and had played the Canadian Closed of 1961 and 1963. Based in Reno, Nevada, he travelled all over by bus. The U.S. Championship was always a year-end 14-player round -robin held in New York. Fischer would always win. In 1964 he even scored a hard-to-believe 13-0! Suttles debut was unimpressive, flu-influenced, but he did win a sharp game which was a big influence on me. It is game 30 in *Chess on the Edge* Vol. 1.

□ Suttles, Duncan

■ Addison, William U.S. Closed, N.Y., 1965 King's Gambit Declined [C30]

1.e4 e5 2.f4

Suttles later switched to the Vienna Game.

So far, so Philidor.

4...②f6

Suttles-Shulman, Canadian Closed Winnipeg, 1963 went 4... 🖗 e7 5.d4 \u00e9b6 6. \u00e9b5+ c6 7. \u00e9d3 d3 \u00e9d7 8.fxe5 dxe5 9.0-0 with a tense struggle.

This retreat has overtaken the older 6... \$b4+ in popularity.

7.②c3 0-0 8.e5 dxe5 9.fxe5 ②d5 10.違g5!



10...f6

In 1988, at the Oakham House Futurity at Ryerson, Chicago Master Johan Stopa surprised me with an improve-

January 2010

ment 10...2xc3 11.bxc3 We8!? (setting up ...f7-f6 or ...c7 -c5 for counter-play) 12.a4! (This turned out to be a strong reply since if 12...c5!? 13.a5 奠c7 the central pressure disappears and simply 14. 鼻d3 will produce an attack even if Black wins material on the queenside. A sample line would be 14... 2c6 15.0-0 h6 16. \$f4 \$xa5 17. \$b1 cxd4 18. \d3 g6 19. \science xa5 \daga xa5 20. \cup xh6 \cup e6 21. \dd xd4 2c6 22. #f4 with an attack. Another option would be the blockade 12.... 違a5 when play might develop 13. 違d2 違f5 14. 2h4 \$e4 15. \$c4 2d7 16. We2 2b6 17. \$b5 \$c6 18. ⁽¹⁾ f5 and, as Tal used to write, storm clouds gather over the Black King). 12... ac6 13. 2e2 as (Better was 13... \$g4 14.h3 \$xf3 15.gxf3 when the position is dangerous for both players) 14.0-0 \$e6 15.\$h1 \$b3? Going for the distant pawn underestimates the speed of White's attack on the other wing. 16.營e1 魚xa4 17. 魚d3 魚b5



If he could exchange the light–squared Bishops then Black would stand well. But White can force mate by a direct assault. Note the specific tactical problem created by the plugged f8–square: it robs the King of a key flight path. Therefore he has few options. 18.&xh7+! Crisp and forc–ing (18. &e4 &xf1 19. Bh4 would also be winning.) but 18... \pounds xh7 19. $\textcircled{B}h4+ \pounds$ g8 20. &f6! &d3 21. Bg5 &g6 22. \circlearrowright h4 Be6 (On 22...Bd7, 23. \circlearrowright f5 wins.) 23. &xg7! \pounds xg7 24. \blacksquare f6 Be8 25. \circlearrowright f5+ \pounds g8 26. \blacksquare f1. The arrival of the re–serves decides. It's mate in five. 26... \circlearrowright c4 27. Bh6 &xf5 28. \blacksquare 1xf5 \circlearrowright xe5 29. \blacksquare h5 1-0.

11.冀c4! c6 12.exf6 gxf6 13.冀h6 邕e8+ 14.杏f2 杏h8



Both sides have an isolated pawn and an exposed King. White's lead in development should confer some advantage.

15.¤e1 &e6 16.\d2

Suttles later preferred 16.^{wb3} here.

16...②d7 17.冀xd5! 冀xd5

The dour 17...cxd5 also favours White.

18.**②xd5 cxd5 19.**罩xe8+

Surprisingly 19.¹⁰/₁₄ was more exact.

19....[@]xe8 20.**2**e1



The critical moment of the game is easy to miss. Normally, the attacked Queen might consider ... 營h5 or ... 營g6, either of which allows the attack 鼍e7, or the careful ... 營f7 to prevent it. Annotating the game in *Chess Canada* in 1972 Suttles recommended 20... 營g6 21. 鼍e7 鼍g8 22.g3 when Black can centralize the Knight by 22... 公c5 23. 查g2 公e4 but after 24. 營f4 White is still on top.

20...≝f7?

The hard move even to consider is the paradoxical 20... De5! self-pinning the Knight but precipitating a crisis. White cannot simply unpin his d-pawn by 21. \pm f1?! because 21... b5+ breaks the pin with tempo. The 'normal' tactical sequence would be to win a pawn by 21. 奠f4 營f7 22.&xe5 fxe5 23. \exists xe5 but with \oint/f^2 the position is not normal and Black can recover the pawn by the skewer 23... c7! picking up the unprotected h-pawn. After 24. Ee2 gxh2 in Chess on the Edge, Harper/Seirawan give 25. 營h6 奠f4 26. 營e6 with equality. However White has an earlier improvement with 21. \$\gamma g5!? since 21... \$\delta g4+ 22. 查g1 凹b5 23. 奠f4 奠a5 24. 鬯e2 is an advantage whether Black exchanges Queens or not. Black is better off with the active 22... 營g6 but then 23. 食h4 罩g8 24. 營f4 should favour White who, as Capa would note, has the fewer pawn islands.

21.營f4 查g8 22.g4! 營g6 23.鼍e7 罩d8

23...②c5 would be met 24.④e5.

24.h4 曾c2+ 25.空g1 曾c7 26.曾f5 曾g3+ 27.空h1 曾h3+ 28.②h2 1-0. Incidentally, by the end of the 60s Addison's Elo was nearing 2500 but he retired from chess and became a banker.

As an impressionable young player in 1964, I was intrigued by the exotic Breyer Gambit after watching Suttles' speed games in New York and at the Scarborough Canadian Open. Despite the line being unsound, I ran up a 5-0 score against various defences from A and B level opposition. Nobody that I faced knew the standard refutation which had put the line out of commission in the 1920s. It was 1.e4 e5 2.f4 exf4 3.營f3 ②c6! 4.c3 ②f6 5.d4 d5 6.e5 ③e4 7.ዿxf4 ዿe7 8.۞d2 f5 9.exf6 ③xf6 10.ዿd3 0-0



This is Spielmann–Grunfeld, Baden Baden, 1925. Instead of pressuring a weak pawn at f7, White's adventuresome Queen faces a happy Rook enjoying the open file. Black has a clear edge. If White wants a better version of this type of position he could have chosen a Vienna. Indeed, Suttles soon switched to it. But in 1965, the Suttles blitz treatment was 4.2e2 and after 4...d5 5.exd5 2b4 6.2xf42xc2+(6...2f6 7.2c3 2e7+ also wins) 7.2d1 2xa18.2b5+ 2d7 9.3e1+



Black should play 9... ②e7 leaving g7 guarded. More usual was 9... 逸e7? missing 10. ②e6! *Chess on the Edge* Vol. 3 gives one example that was preserved (since Suttles traveled so light that he didn't keep his score-sheets). Suttles-Aykroyd, Vancouver, 1965, was typically brief: 10...fxe6?! 11.dxe6 ②f6 12. 逸xd7+ 🌣f8 13.g4! (Only the

sharpest moves came into consideration) 13...g5?! 14.b3 查g7 15. 違b2 罩f8? 16. 營f5 1-0.

The line I usually faced was 3.≝f3 d5 4.exd5 △f6 which proved useful later when many Blacks started preferring the move order 1.e4 e5 2.f4 d5 3.exd5 exf4 to avoid the Bishop's Gambit. In that case White can transpose into the sounder lines of Breyer's while avoiding the refutation(s). This fun game was my last with Breyer's original move order:

Day, Lawrence Murray, Peter Eastern Canada Intercollegiate Carleton vs Western Montréal 1968 Breyer Gambit [C33]

1.e4 e5 2.f4 exf4 3.營f3 d5 4.exd5 勾f6 5.遑b5+

Day-Todd Southam, 30m Active, Toronto, 1993, which had transposed from the Falkbeer, varied with 5.公c3 c6 6.d4 cxd5 7.彙xf4 彙e7 8.彙b5+ 公c6 9.公ge2 0-0 10.h3 營b6 11.0-0-0 公b4 12.彙a4 彙e6 13.彙b3. White's queenside has many defenders while d5 affords a target. Nevertheless, the game is roughly balanced. 13.... 当fd8 14.彙e5 岂ac8 15. 塗b1 公e4



16. \triangle f4!? \exists xc3? (Better was 16... \triangle xc3+ 17.bxc3 \triangle c6 which looks unclear. But he only had a minute left and no increments, so...) 17.bxc3 \triangle xa2 18. \triangle c2 a5 19. \triangle a1! (The move he missed. The attack is repulsed) 19... \triangle b4 20.cxb4 axb4 21. \triangle b2 f6 22.&h2 \exists a8 23. \exists a1 \exists c8 24. \exists hd1 \triangle c3 25. \exists d3 \exists c4 26. \exists a8+ \triangle f7 27. \blacksquare h5+ 1-0.

5....皇d7 6.②c3 皇d6

Not 6... 逸xb5 7. ②xb5 ②xd5?? 8. 鬯xd5! winning a piece.

7.②ge2

After 7.ģxd7+ ②bxd7 8.d4 Suttles-Potter B.,C. Championship, 1965, went 8...營e7+ 9.⑤ge2 g5 10.ĝd2 0-0-0 11.0-0-0 ②b6 with Black better, but...1-0, 38.

7...0-0 8.0-0

With Black's King committed to the short side, 8. gxd7

②bxd7 9.d4 made sense. 8....皇g4 9.營f2



Considering the dangers that can develop on the f-file, it makes sense for Black to plug it up with 9...f3!? 10.gxf3 h3 11.\extstyle=1 and Black can recover the pawn at once with 11...\u03e4xd5 since 12.\u03e4xd5 \u03e4g5+ is a fork. However after 12.d3 White looks a bit better. Instead Black continues in the counter gambit style.

The option was 12... 違e5 13. 違xc6 違d4 14. 幽g3 骂xc6 15.d3.

13.\$xc6 \Sc6 14.d3 \$c8

Clearing the way for ... 2 g4 and ... Eh6 leads to a tactical crisis.

15.**\"h4 \"a**5

16.흹d2! ��g4?

Better was 16...\deltad8 but after 17.\deltac1 completing the de-velopment, White has a significant advantage.



It's combo-bombo time.

17.∕acd5 ≌xd2

Relatively better was 17... 營d8 18. 營xd8 罩xd8 19. ④e7+ only losing the exchange.

18.친e7+ 杏h8 19.친fg6+ 뽑xg6 20.친xg6+ 杏g8

21. 친xf8? 凹h6 22. 凹xh6 신xh6 23. 신xh7 쇼xh7 24. 트ae1 핥e6 25. d4 신g4 26. 트e4 신f6 27. 트e3 신d5 28. 트ef3 신f6 29. b3 신e4 30. 프xf7 핥xf7 31. 프xf7 b6 32. 트b7 1–0.



FSMIA By Steven Bolduc

First Saturday Produits par une équipe dont l'organisateur international d'échecs (IO) Nago Laszlo est le principal intervenant, les tournois du premier samedi (Firstsaturday) du mois à



Budapest en Hongrie ont déjà une réputation mondiale. Ces tournois attirent entre 40 et 80 joueurs de plus de 10 fédérations chaque mois et procurent une opportunité à l'obtention de normes de MI ou de GMI, ou plus simplement une augmentation de la cote FIDE. Ces tournois ouverts à tous moyennant une contribution raisonnable sont possibles sur l'appui d'une base d'excellents joueurs hongrois. Les joueurs étrangers qui s'y inscrivent peuvent ainsi être assurer d'avoir une 'catégorie' adéquate pour leurs ambitions. L'on retrouvera les détails sur www.firstsaturday.hu. Nicolas Arsenault, maître québécois, a joué en mars 2009 dans un tournoi à norme de MI des firstsaturday. Sa cote FIDE est de 2153. Je vous présente la partie l'opposant à un joueur junior de Hongrie. Oliver Mihok, MF, est classé second dans les juniors du pays. Sa cote est maintenant 2405. Nicolas est un joueur de 1.e4. Avec les noirs il joue la défense française contre ce même coup. Contre le pion dame il affectionne les défenses indiennes.

Mihok, Oliver (2153) Arsenault, Nicolas (2405) FSIMA, 15.03.2009 Défense française (variante MacCutcheon) [C12]

1.e4 e6 2.d4 d5 3.②c3 ②f6 4.皇g5 ዴb4

La variante McCutheon (ici pour 4.... 264) est ainsi nommé après que John Lindsay McCutheon de Philadelphie (1857 -1905) a amené cette variante à l'attention du public quand il s'en servit pour défaire le champion du monde William Steinitz dans une séance simultanée à Manhattan en 1895. Les alternatives sont 4...dxe4 (classique; C11) et 4... 267 5.e5 公fd7 6. 2xe7 營xe7 (C14) ou 6.h4 (attaque Alekhine– Chatard; C13).

5.e5 h6 6.皇e3

6.彙d2 est la ligne principale. Elle amène des échanges de pièces mineures après 6...奠xc3+ 7.bxc3 ②e4 8.營g4 g6 9.彙d3 ②xd2 10.萤xd2 etc. La théorie a raisonné, dans une optique moderne d'initiative, qu'un sacrifice de pion, pour profiter de l'absence de pièces noires sur l'aile roi, était intéressant. S'est vu 6.奠c1!? ②e4 7.營g4 g6 8.⑤ge2 etc. Et, la variante de la partie 6.彙e3 qui va également dans le même sens i.e. un sacrifice de pion.

6...∕⊇e4 7.₩g4

7...☆f8 est la ligne principale. Alors que le vieux et surprenant 7...g5 est à reconsidérer, tel que joué par Alapin en 1902! 7...g6 de la partie est plus ambitieux. Ce coup permet le transfert du roi noir vers l'aile dame, laissant l'aile roi à elle-même.

7...g6 8.a3 🚊 a5

Ce coup: 8...ĝa5 est récent, 8...ĝxc3 étant le plus populaire. J'ai 5 dans Megabase 2009 avec 8...ĝa5 et toutes datent de peu. Les joueurs avec les blancs ont tous répondu 9.∅ge2. Mihok semble avoir innové avec 9.b4.

9.b4

9. \oint ge2 c5 10.dxc5 \oint c6 (10... \oint xc3 11. \oint xc3 &xc3+ 12.bxc3 \oint c6 13.&d4 &d7 14.&d3 \equiv c8 15.0-0 \oint e7 16. \equiv ab1 &c6 17.Wh4 \oint f5 18.Wxd8+ \oint xd8 19.g4 \oint e7 20.f4 \oint d7 21.f5 gxf5 22.gxf5 \equiv cg8+ 23. \oint f2 \equiv g5 24. \equiv g1 \equiv hg8 25.f6 Sohl,J (2161)-Machowitsch,W (1710)/Frankfurt 2008/½-½ (46)) 11.b4 \oint xc5 12.Wh3 &c7 (12... \oint xc3 13. \oint xc3 &c7 14. \oint b5 &b8 15.&d4 f6 16.Wh4 \oint f7 17.f4 \oint c6 18.&b2 a6 19. \oint c3 g5 20. \ddddot{W} h5+ \oint g7 21.f5 exf5 22.0-0-0 d4 23. \oint a4 &e6 24. \oint b6 &f4+ 25. \oint b1 We8 26. \oiint f3 \equiv d8 27.&c4 Vehi Bach,V (2335)-Benitah,Y (2417)/Salou 2006/0-1 (33)) 13. \oint xe4 dxe4 14. \blacksquare d1 &d7 15. \oint c3 f5 16. \oint b5 \oint f7 17.&c4 Wc8 18.Wh4 &e5 19.&d4 g5 20.Wh5 &xb5 21.&xe5 &xc4 22.&xh8 \oiint{C} r 23.&g7 Wc6 Smikovski,I (2558)-Bagirov,R (2486)/Serpukhov 2008/1-0.

9...②xc3

Il est évident que c'est de cette manière que l'idée blanche se teste.

10.bxa5 c5

Un coup naturel dans la défense française. La chaîne de pions, tel qu'enseigné par le penseur A.Nimzovich, est attaquée par la base.

11**.**a6

Les blancs échangent ce pion avant qu'il ne disparaisse tout simplement.

11...c4

12.axb7 \$xb7 13. 2e2 원xe2 14. \$xe2 \$c6

Ce fou 'problème' trouve de l'emploi.

15..d2

La suggestion de Fritz 15.0-0 &a4 16.c3 Ac6 est sensible. Le milieu de partie, du côté blanc, pour profiter de l'emplacement suspect du roi noir, demande le plus de pièces mineures en jeu possible.

15...ĝa4 16.ĝd1

16. Ea2 est à considérer pour satisfaire l'idée du commen-

taire précédent.

16...a5 17.h4 2c6 18.h5 g5 19.0-0 f5

Les noirs se donnent du jeu à l'aile roi, refuge du roi blanc.

20.exf6 ¹/₂ ¹/2

Une décision difficile. Échanger le ddl contre son semblable n'est certainement pas un choix dont les blancs peuvent être fier.

21....臭xd1 22.鬯xd1 曾f5 23.g4 鬯d3 24.邕e1 李d7 25.邕e3 曾h7 26.鬯e2 勾d8 27.邕e1 邕a6



Il est temps de former la stratégie à poursuivre. Les noirs sont passifs mais solides. L'aile dame est sous contrôle et le roi sécurisé. Idéalement le recyclage du cavalier vers une case centrale donnera du jeu. Ils sont sur la défensive et se doivent d'être patient.Les blancs aussi sont solides. Une entrée forcée vers l'aile dame est souhaitable mais difficile vu la colonne f semi-ouverte et la diagonale b1h7 et du point d'entrée b3 qui procurent du contre-jeu aux noirs. L'échange des pièces lourdes soulignerait la faiblesse des pions noirs sur la couleur du fou blanc en finale et est donc souhaitable mais n'est guère possible. Je dis que le premier coup à faire est a4, fixant le pion noir sur la case a5. Maintenant, la diagonale a3–f8 devient une allée pour le fou. Tout en gardant une pression sur e6 afin de réduire l'activité du cavalier noir, les blancs pourraient tenter de prendre sous contrôle la colonne semi-ouverte f et la diagonale h2-b8 avec la dame. Un combat d'acquisition de 'contrôle' tout en essayant d'échanger les pièces lourdes. Le levier f4 étant l'ultime arme pour générer un pion passé.

28.f4

Je crains que les blancs forcent trop la note, preuve qu'ils n'ont pas déterminé le bon plan stratégique. Il fallait attendre la finale! Du coup, le roi blanc sera désormais toujours exposé. Même si tactiquement la position est stable, stratégiquement, je pense que c'est une erreur grave.

28...gxf4 29.\approxf3

Les blancs regagnent le pion.

29...,宮b6 30.奠xf4 罩g8 31.罩g3 心f7 32.杢h1 凹g7 33.凹c2 凹f6 34.杢g2 罩b3 La Tour noire reste mobile et toujours en contact rapide avec l'aile roi...même si à première vue cela ne semble pas le cas.

35.≝f1 ₩g7

Évidemment pas 35...\Staa 36.\Largect +--.

36.a4

Finalement.

Ici, les blancs peuvent faire l'échange des pièces mineures qui restent. Ils semblent penser que le fou soit supérieur. C'est peut-être le cas mais pour cela il faudrait anticiper un De4 et un sacrifice de qualité sur ce cavalier. Sinon, il m'apparaît évident qu'un cavalier en e4 fait apparaître des tactiques profitable aux noirs.

37...曾e7 38.皇f6 曾e8 39.曾h7+ 杏c6 40.皇e5 宫b7 41.曾xh6 ②e4

Et voilà. Les blancs ne sont pas prêt pour l'activation de ce cavalier. Comme ils ont été à la pêche et ont été capturer un menu fretin (pion h6) ils s'exposent à du danger. Avant la finale, les dieux ont placé le milieu de jeu comme disait S.Tarrash.

42.�h3??



Une erreur tactique surgit dès que ça ce complique un peu mais je gage qu'il soit difficile de tenir. Par exemple le meilleur 42.g5 \B2+ 43.\Darket h3 \Darket \Carlot xg3 44.\Darket xg3 (non pas 44.\Darket xg3 ?? \Betah8!-la dame est trappée) devient vite un casse-tête sans fin juste pour rester à flot. Pratiquement, l'erreur viendra avec des conséquences malheureuses. La voici.

42...≌h7!+−

Gain de tempo pour la colonne h.

43.₩e3

Si 43.[₩]xh7 [©]g5+ -+.

43...¤xg4!!

Un sacrifice de toute beauté conséquent à l'ouverture de l'aile roi et au placement parfait, je dirais harmonieux, des pièces noires.

44.邕xg4 鬯xh5+ 45.壺g2 鬯xg4+ 46.皇g3 鬯h3+ 0-1.

4th Edmonton International Chess Festival By Tony Ficzere

The Edmonton International Chess Festival took place this past December 17-21 at the Edmonton Chess Club. Organized by Vlad Rekhson and Micah Hughey, the festival included the Edmonton International, the WBX (Week Before Christmas) Team Tournament, a lecture by GM Josh Friedel and a simul by the star of the tournament, GM Victor Mikhalevski.

Normally the EICF is held over the long weekend in August. However, that would have been a little tough to do for the organizers as they also organized the 2009 Canadian Open in July. Putting together two major events within two weeks is a little much to ask. Wisely, Vlad and Micah decided to move the event to December.

For the first time in the tournament's history, GM and IM norms were guaranteed in this ten player/nine round event. That means that there were enough titled players where you could be certain that you would play the required number of games against titled players, as well as meet all of the other FIDE GM and IM norm requirements. All you had to do was win! It's that simple.

I acted as arbiter this time around and also ran the DGT boards. This helped the organizing committee concentrate on other duties. From where I was sitting, the organizers did an exceptional job from start to finish. The only major issue to surface prior to the start of round one was the news that IM elect Daniel Rensch of the USA would not be able to play. Vlad was able to fill the void with Calgary FM



Tournament winners GM Josh Friedel vs. GM Victor Mikhalevski

Dale Haessel. Things were ready to roll.

Most of the featured players stayed at the Glenora Bed & Breakfast Inn which is just a few short blocks away from the Edmonton Chess Club. The building itself is a historical landmark in the neighbourhood. It was built in 1912 as apartment dwellings. The most famous tenant was one Wilfred "Wop" May. Wilfred gained fame for being the pilot that was being pursued by Baron Von Richtofen, the infamous "Red Baron," when he was allegedly shot out of the sky by Canadian Ace, Captain Arthur "Roy" Brown. There are a flock of theories out there about what really happened that day, but I like this one.

The distance from the Glenora to the club is about a ten minute walk, a perfect distance to get yourself some fresh air before you play. Most of the players chose to walk each day. I was lazy and drove the six blocks. The only problem with walking was the extreme cold weather that was happening. Only one week before the tournament, Edmonton experienced -45° Celsius temperatures, before wind-chill,

| 4 th Edmonton International Progressive Crosstable (CAN) 17-21 xii | | | | | | | | cat. VII (2412) | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Т |
| 1 | Josh Friedel | g | USA | 2551 | D7 | W8 | W6 | W2 | D3 | D4 | W9 | W10 | D5 | 7 |
| 2 | Victor Mikhalevski | g | ISR | 2607 | W9 | W5 | W8 | L1 | D4 | W10 | W7 | W6 | D3 | 7 |
| 3 | Marc Esserman | m | USA | 2408 | W4 | W10 | D7 | D6 | D1 | W9 | D5 | W8 | D2 | 6.5 |
| 4 | Gergely Antal | m | HUN | 2495 | L3 | W9 | D5 | D8 | D2 | D1 | W10 | W7 | W6 | 6 |
| 5 | Jesse Kraai | g | USA | 2509 | W8 | L2 | D4 | W10 | W7 | D6 | D3 | L9 | D1 | 5 |
| 6 | Eric Hansen | f | CAN | 2409 | W10 | D7 | L1 | D3 | W9 | D5 | D8 | L2 | L4 | 4 |
| 7 | Edward Porper | m | CAN | 2445 | D1 | D6 | D3 | W9 | L5 | D8 | L2 | L4 | D10 | 3.5 |
| 8 | Dale Haessel | f | CAN | 2201 | L5 | L1 | L2 | D4 | D10 | D7 | D6 | L3 | D9 | 2.5 |
| 9 | Keith MacKinnon | | CAN | 2125 | L2 | L4 | D10 | L7 | L6 | L3 | L1 | W5 | D8 | 2 |
| 10 | Vladimir Pechenkin | f | CAN | 2372 | L6 | L3 | D9 | L5 | D8 | L2 | L4 | L1 | D7 | 1.5 |



Foreground: FM Vladimir Pechenkin vs. IM Marc Esserman Background: IM Edward Porper vs. FM Eric Hansen

making it the coldest place on the planet. On the last day, I offered rides to any player who wanted one. Jesse Kraai decided he would walk (jog is more like it). He ended up beating the rest of us to the club!

After the games we usually wound up going out for dinner at the local Boston Pizza or some other restaurant in the city. The games usually ended around 11 pm which limited our eating and drinking options. After the last round, all the players and organizers went out for dinner and drinks at a local establishment. Entertainment was provided by Marc Esserman and Eric Hansen who played a blindfold game. The final result of the game is still a mystery but it surfaced on the USCF site in an article written by GM Josh Friedel (http://main.uschess.org/content/view/10009/571/).

Unfortunately, nobody earned a norm at the event. Two players did come close as IM Marc Esserman missed a GM norm by 1/2 point and FM Eric Hansen missed out on what would have been his final IM norm by a point. FIDE had recently revamped their regulations for norms. I believe Marc would have qualified for the GM norm under the old rules, but I could be mistaken.

In the end it was GMs Josh Friedel of the US and Victor Mikhalevski of Israel taking 1st-2nd place with 7/9. Josh had the better tie-break and took home the silver platter. US IM Marc Esserman claimed 3rd all by himself with 6.5/9and it could be argued that he played the most enterprising chess of the tournament. IM Gergely Antal of Hungary,



IM Gergely Antal

currently attending university in Texas, also put in a solid performance with 6/9, losing just one game to Esserman. GM Jesse Kraai was slightly off form and only managed 5/9. FM Eric Hansen finished with 4/9 and was only given trouble by the three GMs. Eric may not be happy with the final outcome, but I am certain that his final IM norm is not far away. Edmonton's IM Edward Porper did not have a good tournament this time around and finished with 3.5/9. FM Dale Haessel had his moments and should have finished a little higher than he did, missing a few opportunities over the board when he stood better. Dale finished with 2.5/9. The only non-titled player was Keith MacKinnon of Saskatchewan who put in a respectable effort with 2/9. Keith took his first GM scalp with his 8th round victory over Jesse Kraai and should have drawn with Mikhalevski in their encounter, making a critical mistake in the endgame in a drawn position. FM Vladimir Pechenkin of Edmonton scored 1.5/9 and there is no doubt he was not happy with the final standings. At the very least, Vlad gained some valuable experience. All the games for the tournament are available online to view or download at http://www.albertachess.org/EICF2009/EICF Games.html.

Thanks must go the organizing committee headed by Vlad and Micah. They produced an excellent tournament and very good playing conditions. Terry Seehagen should also receive praise for keeping the tournament site in tip top shape. The atmosphere at the tournament was always friendly and enjoyable. As the arbiter, the only dispute I had was with the waitress at a restaurant when I was told they were sold out of my favourite beer. That I can live with.

The 5th Edmonton International will happen this summer from July 28-August 2. Details are short at this time. Watch this newsletter or visit the ACA website for details (www.albertachess.org)

Here are a few games from the tournament.

Notes by Eric Hansen

Hansen, Eric (2409)
 Pechenkin, Vladimir (2372)
 4th Edmonton International (1), 17.12.2009
 Old Indian Defence [A55]

1.d4

I hadn't eaten that day and just got to the tournament after a 3 hour bus ride and a day at school, so it's safe to say I was exhausted and not wanting to play.

1.... 2 f6 2.c4 d6 3. 2 f3 2 bd7 4. 2 c3 e5

Black's plan in this opening is to play solid and give White a large centre. Then Black hopes for White to overextend with his space advantage so he can counter–attack.

5.e4 \$e7 6.\$e2 0-0 7.0-0 c6 8.h3

I've had a bit of experience in this sort of position. White's

dark squared Bishop is very valuable, so &g5 is out of the question and therefore the most logical place is on e3. The move h3 is needed to prevent the annoying ... &g4 at some point.

8...a6

Trying either to gain space on the queenside with a b7–b5 push or see if White will create some holes by playing a4, when he will reply with a5, gaining valuable queenside dark squares.

9.a4?!

I play a similar set up as Black, and so I knew that he would play ...a5 right away. I was not very happy with this move, but played it because I couldn't find a way to prevent ...b5, which is supposed to be good for Black in this opening. It turns out that I was completely wrong. Better was 9. 2e3 b5 10.a3± keeping the tension and still having a comfortable space advantage.

9...a5 10.罝e1 罝e8 11.兔e3 exd4 12.勺xd4 신c5 13.াc2 兔f8 14.兔f3=

The past few moves are fairly standard for Black so he was playing pretty quickly. White's replies have been mainly forced and now I must come up with a plan if I want to play for a win.

14...h6 15.≌ad1 ¹⁰b6 16.g4!?∞

This move is quite risky and leads the game into unclear waters. The reason I like this move is because it changes the nature of the position. I think I read somewhere that if you don't like the way the position is headed, the best thing to do is to try and change the nature of the position. Now the game turns sharp and tactical, suiting me a bit more.

Black is waiting for me to push my kingside pawns and make weaknesses.

20.ĝf1

Shifting my pieces to the best squares for a kingside pawn storm.

20...g6 21.②f3 鬯b4

This is why I played 堂f1 earlier.

22.f5

A very agressive move which looks bad because it gives up my last dark square and invites Black to come in. We were starting to get into time trouble now with 18 moves left before time control. However, this is the only pawn push that can actually create some attacking chances on his King. 22.g5 leads to nothing, e.g., 22.g5 hxg5 23.fxg5 $2.6\overline{\mp}$. Too many weaknesses and no attack.

22...gxf5

My threat was 23.fxg6 fxg6 followed by e5, hitting his unprotected g6 pawn with my Queen.

23.gxf5?

Played quickly, and not the best. 23.exf5 is better as I have threats such as &h4, while he also has problems finding places for his pieces. For example, he can't play 23...&g7 (which I was afraid of) immediately because of 24. Ξ xd6!, which I missed. 23.exf5 Ξ xe1 24. Ξ xc1 \pm is still a very sharp position.



FM Dale Haessel

23...... 全g7

24.**흹d**4

This looks odd as the Bishop was the last piece controlling the dark squares. However, my plan is to go after the weak h6 square.

We were both in bad time trouble here and these moves were played with little calculation. I was nervous but confident because during mutual time trouble, I have a tendency of emerging ahead because of my quick calculating ability.

26....②d7 27.₩d2 ②e5!

The b2 pawn is worth much more than the h6 pawn, as after I lose b2, my pawns become weak while my pieces are threatened and in disarray. Taking on h6 creates no immediate threats.

28.b3 **Zg**8

Natural and correct. This position looks good for Black but it is easy to underestimate the tactical resources in the po-sition.

29.₩b2

I don't have time to get my Rook on the g-file, and right now my pieces have no threats at all. This move, at the very least, may have a discovered check or pin the Knight on e5 in a few moves. We only had a few minues to make 10+ moves here.

29...**¤g**3?

I was hoping for this when I played Bb2, and had calculated a line several moves deep. 29... $\Xi g7!$ is a multipurpose move that will allow Black to double on the g-file while also making sure no b2-h8 tactics can come into play. Black would have been clearly better had he played

this.

30.②ce2!

Black probably saw this when he played ... \Bg3 and had planned ... \Be3, when there are numerous threats against White, including the fall of the e4 pawn. Here he should have retreated the Rook.

30...**Ze**3

30...Ξg7 31.②f4∞ Ξdg8 32.②d3 with an unclear position, but I prefer Black as he has more tricks and fewer weak-nesses.

31.₩c1

Forcing 31... Ξ xe4 and allowing Black to play ...0d3 after 32.0xh6; 31.0c2 0xb3 (31... Ξ xb3 32.0xe5+ dxe5 33. Ξ xd8++-); 32.0xe5+ dxe5 33. Ξ xd8+ 0g7 34.0xe3 0xe3 35. Ξ xc8 \approx .

31...邕xe4 32.營xh6 **包d**3

Seems like a good way to win the exchange.



33. 皇g2

33...④f2+

Dodging my trick, however White has a better position now as his pieces are coming out.

34._h2

Black, who was trying to play for the win a couple moves ago, now has only one move which is not totally losing. With under a minute to play here, it is extremely difficult to find 34... \Begat{4}{3}g4!, as you need to see first of all why that funny looking move is required.

34....**②xd**1??

Under extreme time pressure, he needed to make a move and didn't see my idea. In the post-mortem, Vlad said when he avoided this trap on the previous move, that it wasn't based on this sequence as he had not seen it: 34... Ξ e5 35. $\underline{\mathbb{B}}$ h4!+-; 34... Ξ xd4 35. $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$ xd4 $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$ xd1 36.f6 Ξ g8 37. $\underline{\mathbb{G}}$ c4 Ξ g6 38. $\underline{\mathbb{G}}$ xg6+-; 34... Ξ e8 35. Ξ f1 $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$ xd1 (35... $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ e4 36. $\underline{\mathbb{G}}$ xe4 Ξ xe4 37. Ξ g1+-) 36.f6!; 34... Ξ g4 35. Ξ g1 \pm . A pretty crazy position although White has more resources here.

35.f6 1-0.

Black resigned with a few seconds on the clock. Only 35...\Bg8 prevents \Bg7 mate, but after 36.\\$xe4 the mates on h7 and g7 cannot be stopped.

A very satisfying way to end the game as it always feels good to win with tactical sequences like this. My opening was not good but I managed to steer the position into complications and use my calculating ability and a bit of luck from there. My opponent played an excellent game and only the natural looking ... $\exists g3-e3$ maneuver cost him the game.

Notes by Josh Friedel

- □ Friedel, Josh (2551)
- Mikhalevski, Victor (2607)
- 4th Edmonton International (4), 19.12.2009
- Ruy Lopez Breyer [C95]

Victor had 3-0 going into this round while I had 2.5, so this was a crucial game towards determining the winner of the event.

1.e4 e5 2.ඞ්f3 ඕc6 3.ዿ̀b5 a6 4.ዿੈa4 ඕf6 5.0-0 ዿੈe7

In our previous game, he played the Open Ruy, which is his main weapon, but this time he decided to surprise me.

6.罩e1 b5 7.奠b3 d6 8.c3 0-0 9.h3 包b8 10.d4 包bd7 11.包bd2 奠b7 12.奠c2 罩e8 13.包f1 奠f8 14.包g3 g6 15.a4 包b6

This was obviously a move prepared by Victor. 15...c5, ...c6 and ...ĝg7 are more common.

My opponent was still playing instantly, and it is always worrisome when you are stuck in your opponent's prep. I spent some time and came up with an idea that I think is a good one and, more importantly, got him to think.

20.cxb5

20. 约h2 b4 led to a short draw in Motylev-Ivanchuk.

20...axb5 21.b4

My idea is quite simple. I don't want to allow Black to completely lock the queenside with b4, and in this way I can use the weakness on b5. He goes astray right away.

21...c4?

(see next diagram)

21...cxb4 22.ģd2 b3 23.ģxb3 ②c5 24.ģc2 is pleasant for White, as the b5 pawn is more vulnerable than the a5 one, and I still have a pleasant central space advantage;



22.②e2!

Once the Knight gets to c3, it will be very unpleasant for Black. He'll be tied to his b5 pawn and I'll be free to build on the kingside.

22...≝f8 23.∕2c3 ≜a6 24.g4

I don't want to allow ... 逸h6, which might ease the pressure a bit. Now I'm free to build my position while it is difficult for Black to untangle his forces.

24...莒eb8 25.奠e3 包e8 26.營d2 包c7 27.查h2 包f6 28.莒g1 查h8 29.包h4 鬯e7 30.奠g5!?

I had planned this when I played 创h4. My idea is to keep his f6 Knight pinned while preparing f4.

30...**¤g**8

This ends up being an unfortunate square for the Rook. 30...\$c8 immediately might have been more tenacious.

31.莒g3 皇c8 32.莒f1 皇d7 33.f4

This was my big idea.

33...h6 34.**¤gf**3!

34.≜xf6 [™]xf6 35.[©]g2 exf4 is far from clear.

34...exf4 35.皇xf4 约h7

This is very awkward, but it is tough to find an improvement. 35...g5 doesn't help, as after 36.&e3 gxh4 (36...&xg437. $\Xi xf6$) 37. $\Xi xf6$ &xf6 38. $\Xi xf6$ $\Xi g6$ 39.&d4 White is crushing.; 35...&xg4+ 36.hxg4 @xh4+ (36...&xg4 37. $\Xi g3$ @xh4+ 38.&g2 transposes) 37.&g2 &xg4 38. $\Xi g3$ also gives White a huge attack.

36.**£g3 £e**8

(see next diagram)

The only move, as otherwise $\exists xf7$ wins immediately. It is now clear why ... $\exists g8$ was an unfortunate move; his King needs room to breathe!

37.e5!

I break open Black's position, and let my last piece (c2



Bishop) into the game.

This natural move may throw away a large part of White's advantage. 38.&xe5+ @xe5+ 39.&g2 is stronger, with @xh6 and $\Xie1$ threatened. 39...@g5 (39...@g7 40. $\Xie3$ and Re7 is going to crush.) 40.@d4+ f6 41. $\Xi xf6!$ &xf6 42.&f3! &e6 43.dxe6 &c6 44.&e4+-.

38....②g5?

39.\arrowski xe5!

Now Black is completely lost.

39...dxe5 40.d6 営d8 41.營e3 営xd6 42.營xe5+ 營xe5 43.흹xe5+ f6 44.흹xd6 ②xf3+ 45.②xf3 ②a6

Victor plays on awhile, but Black has no real chances from here on.

46.②d4 查g7 47.查g3 彙d7 48.②dxb5 罩e8 49.查f2 罩e6 50.羹d1 彙c6 51.彙e2 彙xb5 52.②xb5 c3 53.彙d3 g5 54.②d4 罩xd6 55.②f5+ 查f8 56.②xd6 ②xb4 57.彙f5 查e7 58.②b5 c2 59.彙xc2 ②xc2 60.a6 1-0.

Notes by Edward Porper and Jesse Kraai

🗆 Kraai, Jesse (2509)

Porper, Edward (2445)

4th Edmonton International (5), 19.12.2009 *Oueen's Gambit Declined, Slav [D12]*

1.ව්f3 d5 2.d4 c6 3.c4 විf6 4.e3 දුf5 5.විc3 e6 6.ව්h4 දුg6 7.ව්xg6 hxg6 8.දූd2

EP: A less popular alternative to 8.奠e2.

8...②bd7 9.cxd5

EP: ?! Unassuming. After this move White has no realistic shot at an opening advantage as the whole idea of 4.e3 is to develop the pieces behind the pawn chain and then gain

| space by pushing the e-pawn one step further. Now it would only isolate the d-pawn, leaving Black an easy tar- get to advance upon. | JK: ? Better is 15exf3. My sense is that White is a little better as my centre will unfold with e4 and Black's g5 pawn will regret having advanced. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| JK: The product of months of sweat by me and my silicon | 16.②xe4 ②xe4 17.fxe4 響xe4 18.響b3 | | | | | |
| pet. White wants to open the position for the Bishops. | EP: Not such a difficult move to be overlooked, after a good rest that is. | | | | | |
| 9exd5 10.翕d3 翕d6 11.h3 鬯e7 12.宫c1 | | | | | | |
| JK: !? Discouraging0–0–0 | 18營e7 | | | | | |
| 12g5 | EP: After the game my opponent suggested 180-0 | | | | | |
| EP: ? Winning this game was my last chance to stay in the race for a coveted GM–norm. Even a draw would have left | 19.營xb7 營d3 as the last resort, but the attempt fails to 20.邕cd1 邕ab8 21.營xa7 邕xb2 22 奠c1. | | | | | |
| me in dire straits, having to score 100% in the remaining 4 | JK: 180-0 19.營xb7 營d3 <i>(19国本)</i> 20.三cd1! | | | | | |
| games, one of them against GM Viktor Mikhalevski with | 19.e4 | | | | | |
| Black. So I decided to go all guns blazing against a pre- sumably easier opponent. The natural 120-0 13.0-0 🖾 ae8 | EP: Now the pawn avalanche is unstoppable. | | | | | |
| followed by De4 would have given Black a very prom- | 19f6 20.e5 fxe5 21.dxe5 힕c5+ 22.핲h1 0-0-0 | | | | | |
| sing position. | EP: The King has nowhere to hide. 22 \$b6 23 \$b4. | | | | | |
| IK: ?! aka The Panda. GM Josh Friedel thought0–0 was | 23.Ef7 Exd2 24.Exe7 | | | | | |
| nore prudent. 13.0-0 IK: ! Action on the wing is met by action in the centre. | EP: ? Who wouldn't take a free Queen? One who would spot 24.\approx xc5! ending the game immediately. As it was, a relatively short agony followed. JK: ? 24.\approx xc5! | | | | | |
| White intends c4. | | | | | | |
| 13 ² e4 | 24 | | | | | |
| | EP: Her Majesty has an ample choice of temporary resi- dences like e6 or f7. The g-pawn is doomed and Black's hopes to build a fortress are gone with the wind. | | | | | |

28... Zh4 29. 凹e6 鼻c5 30. 凹f7+ 含b6 31. 凹xg7 Ze4 32. 凹xg5 **黛d4 33.營d2 巢xe5 34.g4 1-0.**

Notes by Marc Esserman

□ Esserman, Marc (2408)

MacKinnon, Keith (2125)

4th Edmonton International (6), 20.12.2009 Scandinavian Defence [B01]

1.e4 d5 2.exd5 ②f6 3.d4 禽g4 4. ②f3 響xd5

Keith returns to the Scandinavian via the Portugese Defense move order. However, his Bishop is now committed to g4 whereas in the Scandinavian it can go to f5 or g4 depending on the situation.

5.②c3 凹d6

Entering the Bronstein variation, yet with the moves ... g4 and ²f3 thrown in the mix. Normally Black has a chance to play a6 to secure his Queen's position on d6 (stopping ②b5), but this tempo has already been spent on ... 違g4. White's resulting play attempts to take advantage of this nuance.

6.h3 \$h5 7.g4

Sending the Bishop on its way and taking the momentum.

The principle motive behind this move is to further harass



EP: ?? This norm-hunt combined with hanging around the tournament hall between the rounds (instead of taking a sound nap) rendered my brain even softer than it would normally be! 13...g4 would have at least justified the previous move though after 14. e4 dxe4 15. 2xe4 gxh3 still in a deep trouble 17...增h4 18. 象xc6 空d8 19. 里e4! Yet, to follow a waste of time with bursting the game open was a blackout to be really "proud" of.

JK: 13...g4 14.e4 dxe4 15.\extbf{E}e1 0-0-0 16.\extbf{D}e4. I only saw up to here and thought I would have good play. 16.gxh3 17.[₩]b3! with the attack.

14.\$xe4 dxe4 15.f3

EP: Of course White is all too happy to oblige.

15...Øf6

| Canadian Chess News | January 2010 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| the Queen by gf4. | 13.鬯xd5 | | | | |
| 8c6 8a6 is better here. Now Black no longer has time for the thematica6 as 象f4 and 象g2 yield White a large advantage. 9.象f4 營d8 10.象g2±. 9.象f4 營d8 White already has a near decisive advantage. The simple moves h4 or 象g2 would be fine, but White tries for even more. 9營b4? and the Queen's problems are highlighted in the following variation: 10.公c4 (threatening a3!) 10公c4 11.a3 anyway! 11公xc3 12.axb4 (12.營d2 營a4 13.b3 營b5 14.公d6+ exd6 15.象xb5 公xb5 and White has a Queen for three pieces plus a big lead in development) 12公xd1 13.公b6 and White wins. 10cxd5 meets an immediate end after 11.象b5+ 公bd7 12.公xd5 營a5+ 13.b4+ | Black is now presented with a difficult recapture. 13cxd5! 13 $\&xd5$ 14. \textcircledarcdxh $\&xh1$ 15. $\&d3$ and with the pawn on c6, not d5, Black cannot play $\&e4$ to seal the Knight's fate. If 15g6, Black falls one move short; 15g5!? 16. $\&xg5$ $\&g7$ 17. \textcircledarcdysize $\&g6$ again! 17hxg6 18. $\&xg6$ + $\&f8$ (18 $\&d7$ 19.0-0-0+) 19.0-0-0 threatening mate! 19 $\&d5$ 20.c4+ 16. \textcircledarcdysize hxg6 17. $\&xg6$ + $\&d7$ 18.0-0-0+ $\&d5$ 19.c4+ White regains all material and the attack remains. 14.\textcircledarcdysize hxg8 $\&xh1$ Finally it appears there will be no escape for the Knight. 15.$\&xb8!$ The key to the combination, trading off White's strong Bishop for Black's inactive Knight. 15. $\&d3?$ $\&e4!$; 15. $\&b5$ +!? Ironically it turns out that this move also wins, as in the following variation the trapped h8 Knight partici- | | | | |
| 11.包xd5 息e4Keith finds the most challenging move. If White is not careful now, he will be punished for the ambitious d5.11鬯xd5 12.鬯xd5 cxd5 13.逸b5+ 空d8 14.0-0-0 e615 c4+ | pates in the trapping of the h1 Bishop! $15\textcircled{0}c6$ ($15\textcircled{0}d7$ 16. $\textcircled{0}e2$ $\textcircled{0}e4$ { $16\textcircled{0}g2$ 17. $f3$ } $17.f3$ $\textcircled{0}xc2$ 18. $\blacksquare c1+-$) 16. $\textcircled{0}e2!$ $\textcircled{0}e4$ ($16\textcircled{0}g2$ 17. $\blacksquare g1$ $\textcircled{0}xh3$ 18. $\blacksquare g3$) 17.f3! and the Knight eyes the Bishop's retreat to g6! 17\textcircled{0}xc2 18. $\blacksquare c1+-$. | | | | |
| 15.c4±. | 15骂xb8 16.奠b5+ | | | | |
| | The King must give ground, releasing the wayward Knight. | | | | |
| | 16亞d8 17.②f7+ 亞c7 18.黨d3 | | | | |
| | It is now the Black Bishop that is short of squares. | | | | |
| | 18g6 19.空e2 鼻e4 | | | | |
| 🚊 🧟 🔔 | 19 | | | | |
| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | 20.奠xe4 dxe4 21.例g5 | | | | |

The rest of the game is technical; White has an extra pawn with a superior minor piece and pawn structure.

26.axb5 axb5 27.②c5 象xc3 28.邕c1 1-0.

Notes by Victor Mikhalevski

□ Mikhalevski, Victor (2607)

■ Porper, Edward (2445)

4th Edmonton International (7), 20.12.2009

Queen's Gambit Declined, Slav [D15]

This is probably my best game in Edmonton.

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c6 3.2f3 2f6 4.2c3 a6 5.c5 2bd7 6.2f4 **②h5 7.**皇g5

A tricky line. White provokes ...h6. The idea behind the move can be seen in two other games I played in this line. 7...₩c7

Earlier my opponents played the main line 7...h6 8. gd2 Dhf6 9. ፪f4 Dh5 10. ፪e5 Dxe5 11. Dxe5 Df6 12. e4 e6 (12... 逸e6 13.f3 g6 14. 逸e2 逸g7 15.0-0 0-0 16. 鬯d3 鬯c7

12...[₩]xd5□

19.\\\\xh1+-.

12. ②xf7!

12.... \$\dot xf7 13. \$\dot c7! \$\vert xd1+ 14. \$\vert xd1 \$\overline xh1 15. \$\dot xa8\pm ...\$

In the spirit of Tal. With two pieces en prise, put another one under attack. As Tal said, "they can only take them

one at a time!" 12.62f6+?! is not sufficient as 12...gxf6

13.¹/₂xd8+ ¹/₂xd8 14.¹/₂xf7+ ¹/₂e8 15.¹/₂xh8 ¹/₂xh1 16.¹/₂c4

gd5 and the White Knight will not escape the corner;

12.奠c4?! 奠xd5 stops this fantasy (12... 奠xh1 13. ②c7+

rational, than ⓐ*xf*7!) 12...[™]xd5 (12...[™]2*xc*6 13.[™]2*c*7+)

swapped roles with its counterpart! 14...\$xh1 (14...e5 15. 奠xe5 奠xh1 16. 奠b5+ 查e7 17.0-0-0 with a massive at-

13.營xd5 盒xd5 14.②xb8. The White Knight has now

 $\overset{\text{\tiny W}}{xc7}$ 14. $\overset{\text{\scriptsize g}}{xf7\#}$; 12. $\overset{\text{\scriptsize O}}{xc6!!}$ (even stronger, and more ir–

17. $\underline{@}e3 \equiv ad8 \ 18.f4 \ dxe4 \ 19. \\ \underline{@}xe4 \ \underline{@}d5 \ 20. \\ \underline{@}g3 \ \underline{@}f5 \ 21. \\ \underline{@}c3 \ \underline{@}b4 \ 22. \\ \underline{@}ad1 \ \underline{@}c2 \ 23. \\ \underline{@}f2 \ b6 \ 24. \\ \underline{@}xa6 \ bxc5 \ 25. \\ dxc5 \ \underline{@}xe5 \ 26.fxe5 \ \underline{@}xe5 \ 27. \\ \underline{@}xd8 \ \underline{@}xd8 \ 28.g4 \ \underline{@}e3 \ 29. \\ \underline{@}e1 \ 1-0 \ Mikhalevski, V \ (2584) - Prie, E \ (2532)/Ajaccio \ 2007/(31)) \ 13.exd5 \ exd5 \ 14. \\ \underline{@}d3 \ \underline{@}c7 \ 15.0-0 \ \underline{@}d7 \ 16.f4 \ \\ \underline{@}xc5 \ 17.fxc5 \ \underline{@}e6 \ 18. \\ \underline{@}a4 \ \underline{@}g5 \ 19. \\ \underline{@}b6 \ \underline{@}e3 + 20. \\ \underline{@}h1 \ \underline{@}xd4 \ 21. \\ \underline{@}xa8 \ \underline{@}xc5 \ 22. \\ \underline{@}c2 \ \underline{@}e7 \ 23. \\ \underline{@}f5 \ \underline{@}xf5 \ 24. \\ \underline{@}xf5 \ 0- \ 0 \ 25. \\ \underline{@}b6 \ \underline{@}xb6 \ 26. \\ \underline{@}d7 \ \underline{@}d8 \ 27. \\ \underline{@}xb7 \ d4 \ 28. \\ \underline{@}xc6 \ 1-0 \ Mikhalevski, V \ (2580)-Belov, V \ (2589)/Manila \ 2006. \ \end{bmatrix}$

8.e4 dxe4 9. 오xe4 최df6 10. 소:

Now the Knight on h5 won't feel good.

13.\$c4 \$e6

After 13...e6, the light–squared Bishop remains behind the pawn chain.

14.0-0! 営d8

After 14...(2)xc3? I have a pleasant choice between 15.(2)xc6 (2)cd5 (15... (2)e2+ 16. (2)h1 fxe6 17. (2)ae1+-) 16.(2)a5! (2)b8 (16... (2)xa5 17. (2)xb7+-) 17. (2)c5! fxe6 18. (2)c2! (2)f4 19.g3+-; and 15.bxc3 (2)xc4 16. (2)xc4 e6 17. (2)ab1± and Black is going to have serious problems along the b-file.

15.@e5! \$f5 16.\$f4!?±

White is already clearly better. Even better would be 16.xd5! xd5! xd517.wa4!+- with the deadly threat of ga5.

16...≝c8 17.≜g3

17.Ξad1!? was a good alternative. 17...g6 18.ዿxd5 公xd5 19.公xd5 cxd5 (19...Ξxd5 20.公c4+- with 21.公b6 to follow) 20.Ξc1 ዿd7 21.ዿd2 ዿb5 22.ዿa5 ዿxf1 23.Ξxf1 Ξd7 24.公xd7 ພxd7 25.ພg3 ພc6 26.咝b8+ �ad7 27.咝d8+ �ae6 28.Ξe1+ �af6 29.Ξxe7! ዿxe7 30.咝xh8+ �ae6 31.咝e5+ �ad7 32.h3±.

17...g6 18. 2a4! e6 19. 皇xd5! 公xd5 20. 公c4

Black can't stop the appearance of a White Knight on b6.

20...骂d7

Black decides to give up an exchange. 20... 幽a8 is hardly better. 21. 公cb6 幽a7 22. 公xd5 罩xd5 23. 兔e5 罩h7 24. 罩ad1 罩d8 25. 公b6 兔e7 26. 兔c7+-.

21. 勾ab6 勾xb6 22. 勾xb6 營d8 23. 兔e5 鼍g8 24. 鼍fe1!

The Rook on d7 can't escape.

This Rook will penetrate to c7! 26. Zad1!?

26...f6 27.覍d6!

It's important to open lines for my Rooks.

27...杏f7 28.h3 h5 29.鼻xe7 鬯xe7



30.d5! cxd5 31.c6

White breaks through on the queenside.

31...bxc6

31...b5 would be the lesser of evils.

32.¤xc6

Now you can see that my 26th move was justified!

I had good alternatives. 35.g4! hxg4 36.hxg4 違xg4 37.營h8! 營f8 38.鼍c7!! e5 *(38... 營xh8 39.鼍xd7+ 杏e8 40.鼍a7!+-)* 39.營h7+ 營g7 40.營xg7+ 杏xg7 41.鼍xd7+ 違xd7 42.鼍c7+- and White wins.; 35.營h8!? 罩d8 36.營h6 e5 37.鼍c7 違d7 38.鼍1c6+-.

35...e5



36.₩h8!

The Rook on c7 is not hanging!

36...g5

36...骂xc7 37.骂xc7 違d7 *(37...)</mark> 鬯xc7 38.鬯h7++-) 38.鬯h7++-.*

37.¤xd7? ⊕

Missing a one move win with 37.\cong c8!+-.

37....**逸xd7 38.**罩c7

38.鬯xh5+!?+-.

| 38h4 | 10邕e8 11.d5 ②b4 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 38營d6!? is a better defence, but White is winning any- way. 39.營d8! 查e6 40.罩b7! d3 41.罩b6 盒c6 42.營c8+ 查d5 43.營g8+ 查c5 44.營b3 營d4 45.罩b8! 營e4 46.營a3+ 查d5 47.罩d8+ 查c6 48.營d6+ 查f5 49.營xd3+ | During the game, I thought that this move was an inaccu- racy, but it turns out to be Black's best move. 11 编h4!? is a interesting try, but after 12.營d2 剑e5 13.剑xe5 罩xe5 14.黛e2 White is still a tiny bit better. | | | | | |
| 39.邕b7 | 12. 2 d4?! | | | | | |
| The Rook is much better on the b-file from where it has access to the 6th and 8th ranks. 39.營h7+!? 空e6 40.營g8+ 空d6 41.宮a7+ | It was more important to develop my light squared Bishop and castle quickly. 12g6 | | | | | |
| 39罾e8 40.罾h7+ ��e6 41.罩b6+ ��d5 42.罩xf6! | 12 $\hat{\underline{g}}$ d7∓ with the idea of a quick $\hat{\underline{g}}$ g5. | | | | | |
| Dinner time. | 13.ĝe2 c5 This seems overambitious to me. | | | | | |
| 42e4 43.≝g7 | 14.dxc6 ②xc6 | | | | | |
| 43.\angle xa6!+− also wins easily. | 14bxc6 15.a3 c5 16.axb4 cxd4 17. $^{\textcircled{B}}$ xd4±. | | | | | |
| 43d3 44.鼍xa6!+- 鼻b5 45.鼍g6 | 15.0-0 \$\$f6 16.\Ze1? | | | | | |
| 45.營xg5+ 營e5 46.營d8+ 空c5 47.營c8+ 空d4 48.営e6+ | I was not being careful enough here. Jesse quickly sacked | | | | | |
| 45e3 | the exchange on e3, but he missed a stronger move which | | | | | |
| The last chance. | would have guaranteed him a good game. I should have played $16.2db5 \ \Xi e6 \ (16 \ get e5 \ 17.f4\pm) \ 17.\ Hd2\pm and$ | | | | | |
| 46.鼍xg5+ 杏c6 47.鬯c3+ | White will build up pressure against Black's weak d6 | | | | | |
| Or 47.營f6+!? 查c7 48.罩c5 營c6 49.罩c7+ 查b6 50.營d4++ | pawn. | | | | | |
| 47查b7 48.骂g7+ 查a6 | 16 | | | | | |
| 48 $\&$ d7 49. $	ext{@xd3}$ exf2+ 50. $	ext{$xf2}$ $	ext{@f8+ 51.}$ $	ext{@f3++-}$. | and I can't take back on d4 due to\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | | | | | |
| 49. ≝a3+ | | | | | | |
| 49.營a3+ 逸a4 (if 49 | and I can play against Black's isolated pawn. | | | | | |
| | 17.fxe3 盒h4 18.包xc6 18.罩f1 盒g5 19.營d2 營e7 20.包d1. The computer likes this | | | | | |
| 54. 營 <i>c3</i> #) 53. 鬯e5+ | for White, but it seems very passive to me. | | | | | |
| 50. HC3+ Hd3 57. Hd7 17 1-0. | 18bxc6 19.罩f1 鼻g5 20.罩f3 罾e7 21.罾d4 ④d7 | | | | | |
| Notes by Keith MacKinnon □ MacKinnon, Keith (2125) ■ Kraai, Jesse (2509) 4 th Edmonton International (8), 21.12.2009 | Played quickly, but it is most likely a mistake. 21\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | | | | | |
| Alekhine's Defence [B03] | Rybka likes the idea of keeping material with 23. Ef2. It is | | | | | |
| The following game is my first win against a GM. I had | probably best to keep the Rook. | | | | | |
| been having a bit of a tough time in the tournament, but | 23②xf3+ 24.盒xf3 鬯xe3+ 25.杏h1 h6! | | | | | |
| this game lifted my spirits considerably! | This is actually the best move even though it looks a bit | | | | | |
| 1.e4 ⁽²⁾ f6 | strange. Black needs to make an escape square for his King before he can start really doing anything. | | | | | |
| This move came as a big surprise. I had expected the French Defense. | 26.罾xc6 舀b8 27.c5 | | | | | |
| 2.e5 쵠d5 3.c4 친b6 4.d4 d6 5.exd6 exd6 6.친c3 鼻e7 7.h3 | Not the best. It gives Black a draw if he wants one. | | | | | |
| Not allowing the black Bishop to develop itself to its ideal square on g4. | | | | | | |
| 70-0 8. 2f3 &f5 9. ge3 | | | | | | |
| 9. $\&c2$ is played far more frequently. 9 $\&f6$ (9 $\&c6$ 10. $d5$ $\&c5$ 11. $\&d4\pm$) 10. $\&c3$ $\&c6$ 11.0-0 and the position is pretty level, but White probably has a small edge. | | | | | | |
| 9包c6 10.罩c1 | | | | | | |

-Keith MacKinnon vs. GM Jesse Kraai

-

.

I think 10.d5 right away would have been stronger.

27. 최d5 first was better, with the idea of b4. 27...호c2



28.¤f1 &d3 29.¤d1 &c2 30.¤f1 ¤xb2?

He should have taken the draw by repeating moves. **31. 2d1**!

I found this move in mild time trouble and was about 95% sure that I was won.

31...\$xd1

31...@c1 puts up the most resistance 32.@e8+ @h7 33.h4! +--. Black can't take on h4 because of @e4+, and after ...@f4, the White Queen simply takes f7; 31...@d3 32.@e8+ @h7 33.@e2 game over (33. @e4+ @xe4 34. @xe4+ @xe4 35. @xb2+-).

32.₩c8+

32. a8+ h7 33. e4+ f5 34. axf5+- is another way to win, but the win I found is more aesthetically pleasing.

32...杏h7 33.鬯f5+ 杏g8 34.鼻e4!!

Of course! The draw by repetition was there for the taking with $rac{1}{2}$ course! The draw by repetition was there for the taking with $rac{1}{2}$ course. I am now threatening $rac{1}{2}$ course 2 cour

34...f6 35.鬯c8+ 杏f7 36.鬯d7+ 杏f8 37.臭g6 1-0.

Mate is unstoppable. It wasn't a great game by me as I made some mistakes in the middle–game, but my oppo–nent's oversight on the 30th move gave me the opportunity to find the forced win.

Shop at the CFC Book Store for the best prices in Canada



Art of Attack in Chess by V. Vukovic \$18.77



New in Chess Yearbook 93 by Genna Sosonko \$19.46



Chess Secrets: Great Attackers: Learn from Kasparov, Tal and Stein by Colin Crouch \$17.24



Zurich 1953 by David Bronstein \$14.78



Dvoretsky's Analytical Manual: Practical Training for the Ambitious Chessplayer by Mark Dvoretsky \$23.16

Bullet Chess Cre Have to Mate

Bullet Chess: One Minute to Mate by Hikaru Nakamura and Bruce Harper

\$20.75 \$15.85



Get these great titles and more at the CFC Book Store. They're just a click away! Call 519-508-2362 to order or



The World Champions in Canada: Lasker (2) and Capablanca

By Stephen Wright

When Emanuel Lasker made his final trip to Canada in 1926, almost twenty years had passed since his previous visit. Much had changed in the chess world: a new generation of players had arisen and the tenets of the new hypermodern movement were taking hold. More importantly from a personal point of view, Lasker was no longer world champion. He had tried to resign the title to Capablanca in



1920, but the chess community required a formal contest. This duly took place the following year, with Lasker losing a serious match for the first and only time in his life.

Most felt that the ex-champion's career was largely over, but Lasker, now in his mid-fifties, had other ideas. He won strong events at Moravska Ostrava 1923 and New York 1924, and finished second at Moscow 1925 behind Bogoljubow. In the latter part of 1926 he produced the German version of what became *Lasker's Manual of Chess*, but from late January to April he toured America extensively. One of the last stops on the tour brought Lasker back to Canada, this time to Toronto.

In Toronto Lasker gave a single simultaneous exhibition on thirty-one boards, scoring +25 = 5 - 1. Eight game scores have come down to us from the event, and in those games Lasker (playing white in all cases) opened with either 1.d4, 1.c4, or 1.2 f3. The Toronto players responded with kingside fianchetti six times, leading to a Pirc, what we now know as a Barry Attack, and four King's Indians. One would guess the Torontonians had specifically prepared for the ex-world champion, but given that Lasker was predominately an 1.e4 player, this seems unlikely. Instead, these games indicate the growing popularity of the hypermodern school. The King's Indian Defence (usually designated as either "QP Game" or "Irregular" in contemporary sources) had been known for some time, but only achieved a degree of currency after the First World War in the hands of masters such as Euwe, Réti and Yates. Few Canadian games

are extant from that period, but we are fortunate that nearly all the games from the 1924 Canadian Championship in Hamilton were published in *Le Pion*; from these we can see that the King's Indian was played in roughly a quarter of the games that began 1.d4.

A common response to the King's Indian at the time was the London System with &f4, so named following its employment by Capablanca and others at London 1922. Indeed, this is what Lasker had played on the two previous occasions he had faced the opening, against Euwe at Moravska Ostrava 1923 and Alekhine at New York 1924. (*The Euwe game had gone 1.d4* &*f6 2.* &*f3 g6 3.* &*f4* &*g7 4.* $\verb"@c1"$, with Lasker winning in spite of the opening). Yet when Lasker faced the King's Indian in Toronto he replied with the Four Pawns Attack in all four games. There was the example of Alekhine, who had played the variation three times as white at New York 1924, but in Lasker's case this was likely his way of enlivening the simultaneous games, as he had previously done with the King's Gambit.

Notes by Stephen Wright

Lasker, Emanuel
 Creemer, Dave
 Toronto Simul, 21.04.1926
 King's Indian Defence, Four Pawns Attack [E76]

1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 g6 3.②c3 홅g7 4.e4 d6 5.f4 b6

Black need not be so cautious; it has since been shown that c7-c5 is playable, either now or after 5...0-0.

6.②f3 c5 7.d5 e6 8.愈d3 exd5 9.cxd5 愈b7

This pawn structure was largely unknown at the time, so both players have to rely on their own resources. The Bishop is misplaced on b7; better is 9... gg4.

10.0-0 0-0 11.f5

Striking before Black can complete his development, but premature.

11...gxf5 12.gg5 c4

An unnecessary pawn sacrifice; after 12...h6 13. h4 Black stands well (White's Knight has trouble reaching f5).

13.≗xc4 뺄c7 14.≗d3 fxe4 15.�xe4 �xe4 16.≗xe4 뺄c5+ 17.�h1

(see next diagram)

Black should instead win the d-pawn with 17...f5.

18.**黛h**6

18. h4 leaves Black's King in deep trouble.

The straightforward 20.gxg7 dxg7 21.dg5 is good for White.



20...曾c3 21.曾xc3 皇xc3 22.皇xf8 罩xf8

The smoke has cleared: Black is down the exchange for a pawn but has the two bishops and a strong square on c5 for his Knight.

23.莒fc1 皇g7 24.莒c7 仑c5 25.邕c1 皇a8 26.皇b1 a6



Black is inveigled into some tactics but plays several consecutive weak moves and ends up shedding a pawn. The simple 27...h6 was sufficient.

28.h4 f6

Black should have played 28... &xf6, either now or on the next move.

29.鼍xh7 蠡g7 30.剑e6 邕f7 31.剑xg7 邕xg7 32.邕xg7+ 佥xg7 33.鼍d1 ��h6 34.��h2 剡d7 35.象f5 剡e5 36.象e6 a5 37.鼍c1 象b7 38.鼍c7 象a6 39.象f5 剡g6 40.g3 象e2 41.鼍f7 1-0.

Notes by Malcolm Sim with those by Stephen Wright in parantheses noted by SW

- 🗆 Lasker, Emanuel
- Fox, Maurice

Toronto Simul, 21.04.1926

King's Indian Defence, Four Pawns Attack [E76]

[Maurice Fox lived for a short time in Toronto before moving to Montréal and subsequently winning the Canadian championship eight times – SW]

1.c4 d6 2.d4 최f6 3.최c3 g6 4.e4 ଛg7 5.f4 0-0 6.최f3

6.奠e2 is considered best.

6...∕⊉bd7

White is considered to get the best of it against anything but 6...gg4. [Emanuel's distant relative Edward Lasker played an immediate 6...e5 against Alekhine at New York 1924 and eventually drew after a sharp struggle – SW]

7.**≜e2 e**5

This leads to the loss of the exchange, though Fox nets something in the way of pawns. The precautionary 7...h6 might be suggested.

8.fxe5 dxe5 9.dxe5 ∅g4 10.ዿੈg5 ∰e8 11.ᡚd5 ∅gxe5



12.<u>\$</u>e7

There is a good pitfall here: if 12.0xc7 then $12...\textcircled{0}xf3+13.\textcircled{2}xf3 extbf{@e5} 14.\textcircled{0}xa8 extbf{@xg5} 15.\textcircled{0}c7 extbf{@a5+} winning the Knight [except White has the stronger <math>15.\textcircled{0}d2 - SW]$.

12....②xf3+13.gxf3

[The stem game saw 13.&xf3 c6 14.&xf8 @xf8 15.<math>&c3@c5 16.@b3 &c5 17.0-0-0 &xc4 18. @d8+ &f8 19. @hd1&c6 20. @xa8 @g5+ 21. &b1 &d2+ 22. @xd2 &xb3 23. @dd8&c4 24. @xf8+ &g7 25. @fd8 and White won in Englisch-Tarrasch (!), Hamburg 1885 (!) - SW]



18...\$f6

The alternative 18... 🖗 e5 was less hazardous. Black's continuation leaves the Bishop in the air.

19.罩e3 禽h4+ 20.空g2 勾f6 21.罾d4 禽f5 22.罩d1 罾c6 23.fxe4 禽xe4+ 24.禽f3 禽xf3+ 25.罩xf3 罩e8

The saving clause: if 26.^wxh4 then 26...^ze2+ is decisive.

26.22d2 22e4 27.22d8+ 22e8 28.22d4 22e4 29.22d8+ 22e8 30.22d6 22c4

This capture costs a piece.

31.¤f4 🖉e4

If 31..., 2e2+, then 32., 4f3.

32.8d7 8e6 33.8xe6 Exe6 34.Ec2 1-0.

Notes by Malcolm Sim

Lasker, Emanuel
Morrison, John S [E76]

Toronto Simul, 21.04.1926 *King's Indian Defence, Four Pawns Attack [E76]*

[Five-time Canadian champion John Morrison, a participant in the London 1922 tournament, was the reigning title holder in 1926 – SW]

1.c4 ②**f6 2.d4 g6 3.**②**c3** 逸g7 **4.e4 d6 5.f4 0-0 6.**②**f3** ②**bd**7 6...Bg4 is best here.

7.ĝe2 **2e8 8.e5**

A powerful advance.

8....🖄 g4 9.e6 🖄 df6 10.exf7+

To be considered was 10. ②g5 ②h6 11.d5.

10...호xf7 11.h3 බh6 12.g4 බhg8 13.බg5+ 호f8 14.@d3 e6 15.âd2 බe7 16.0-0-0 බc6 17.h4 e5 18.fxe5 dxe5 19.d5 බd4 20.≅df1 호g8 21.h5 බxe2+ 22.බxe2 e4 23.@e3 âxg4 24.hxg6 hxg6 25.බf4 âf5 26.âc3 බg4

It appears very risky to allow the Queen to play to h3. 26...b5 presents opportunities for counter–attack.

27.營h3 皇h6

Black dare not accept the proffered Knight.

28.@ge6



28...**¤xe6**

29.dxe6 喧g5 30.�b1 e3+ 31.�a1 骂d8 32.�d5 e2 33.몰e1

33. \exists fg1 c6 34. \exists xg4 &xg4 35.Wxg4 e1/W+ 36. \exists xe1 Wxg4 and White should win.

Drawn by perpetual check.



Morrison was a little hasty in taking the draw. He afterwards pointed out he could soon have reached a winning ending, i.e., 36. 查b1 公xe1+ 37. 查a1 公c2+ 38. 查b1 e1/避+ 39. 彙xe1 營xh6 40. \(\mathcal{E}xh6 \(\mathcal{E}xe1+\), etc. \(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\).

Notes by Stephen Wright

□ Lasker, Emanuel ■ Steinberg, Boris Toronto Simul, 21.04.1926

King's Indian Defence, Four Pawns Attack [E76]

1.d4 විf6 2.c4 g6 3.විc3 දුg7 4.e4 d6 5.f4 0-0 6.විf3 විbd7 7.දූe2 ଅe8

Preparing 8...e5, but White prevents it with his next.

8.e5 🖄 g4 9.e6 fxe6

Varying from the Morrison game, but after this inferior move Black is forced to part with a piece.

10.②g5 ②df6 11.ዿxg4 ②xg4 12.xg4 e5 13.ভh4

The paradoxical self—pin 13.②e6 is best.

13...h6 14.🖄f3

An alternative is 14. fxe5 hxg5 13.\u00e9xg5, returning the piece for a positional advantage.

14...exd4 15.회e2 c5 16.0-0 핥f5 17.뽑e1

Too slow, allowing Black to consolidate; 17. 23 was called for.

17...e5 18.赠xd8 ≌axd8 19.包g3 e4 20.包h4 d5 21.包hxf5 gxf5 22.包xf5 dxc4 23.包xg7 호xg7



White still has a nominal material advantage, but is unable to deal with Black's armada of centre pawns.

24.查f2 b5 25.罩b1 b4 26.g4 a5 27.h4 罩d5 28.h5 c3 29.bxc3 bxc3 30.罩b7+ 查g8 31.f5 e3+ 32.查f3 d3 33.f6 罩f8 34.鼻xe3 罩xf6+ 35.鼻f4 d2 36.罩e8+ 罩f8 37.罩xf8+ 查xf8 38.鼻xh6+ 查e8 39.罩b1 d1罾+ 0-1.

2nd 1926 wel Lasker

Lasker's signature, from a Toronto Chess Club guest book, courtesy of Erik Malmsten

Capablanca

Arguably the greatest natural player in the history of the game, José Raúl Capablanca (1888-1942) visited Canada four times at the beginning of his professional career, thrice in 1909 and once more in 1912. The Cuban had come to the U.S. for schooling and enrolled in engineering at Columbia University, but during this time he also played many games at the Manhattan Chess Club against the likes of



Emanuel Lasker. Capablanca did not find his studies appealing, and by November 1908 he had left the university. An interested observer was Hermann Helms, co-founder and editor of the *American Chess Bulletin*. To promote his magazine he offered to organize a tour for Capablanca, which duly took place 12 January – 2 March 1909 and encompassed thirty-one displays in twenty-seven cities, including Toronto.

All contemporary sources comment on Capablanca's ability to penetrate to the heart of even the most complex positions at a glance. He also had a reputation for near invincibility: in his entire tournament career the Cuban lost only thirty-four games, including no losses in the period 1916-1924. These two traits coalesced in Capablanca's simultaneous exhibitions, the most remarkable of which occurred in Cleveland on February 4, 1922. Despite not having been involved in any chess activities since winning the world championship nine months earlier, Capablanca played 103 opponents simultaneously, scoring +102 = 1. Even as a young man Capablanca's tour percentages were considerably higher than those of other masters: on his first tour mentioned above the future world champion's total results were +571 = 18 - 13, or 96.3%. This included a string of 132 consecutive wins at the beginning of the tour before finally giving up a draw in Cleveland on January 20, 1909. Unfortunately for the Toronto players, their display took place the day before – they lost all twenty-three games to the young Cuban. These results no doubt pleased Helms, as each victor over Capablanca received a six-month subscription to the American Chess Bulletin.

In the spring of 1909, Capablanca considerably enhanced his growing reputation by decisively defeating Frank Marshall in a match (+8 = 14 - 1); the following week Capablanca was in Montréal and gave two displays, scoring +12=3 -0 and +15 = 2 -0. Five months later he made his third trip to Canada, beginning his second formal American tour on November 17 in Montréal. On this occasion Capablanca took on twenty-four players and gave up two draws. Newspaper coverage of Capablanca's 1909 Canadian visits was scant and no games seem to have been published (he was largely unknown at the time), but his last Montréal appearance did elicit a short interview and a few extra words about the display in the press:

> "Two or three times Capablanca was hard pressed last night, but managed to win out. Early in the evening Dr. W. Winfret worked a knight into a strong position, threatening the black queen. It took the Cuban nearly two minutes to solve the situation. He pursed his lips, scratched his head (a favourite gesture), and tapped his foot, looking worried. Then he moved a knight, and the doctor had lost his game, though he put up a stiff fight." Montréal Daily Herald, 18 November 1909.

The same *Daily Herald* report gave the score of Capablanca's previous Westmount display in June as +22 = 1 - 1, which contradicts every other source and is presumably erroneous.

Capablanca visited Canada for the last time as part of his fourth American tour, appearing in Winnipeg on 14-15

May 1912. By this point he was regarded as an ascending star, having won the strong tournament at San Sebastian in 1911 (Pillsbury was the only other person in history to have won a major event at the first attempt, at Hastings 1895). While in Winnipeg, Capablanca gave a twenty-board simultaneous exhibition each evening, winning all the games. According to the *Manitoba Free Press*, "Mr. Capablanca only lost one game during his stay in Winnipeg. Wednesday afternoon, while playing against Major Carey, he lost out." Given that the formal simultaneous displays were held in the evening, this must have been an offhand game, presumably at odds. In any event, allowing for the *Daily Herald* report cited above, this appears to be the only game Capablanca ever lost in Canada; his overall score for simultaneous games was +88 =5 -0.

None of the games were published in the Winnipeg press; normally the games that survive from a simultaneous display are losses or draws by the exhibitor, but Capablanca allowed precious few examples. However, we do have one game and two positions from the Winnipeg displays, courtesy of the *Capablanca-Magazine*, a Spanish-language chess journal which ran 1912-1914. The Winnipeg examples are reproduced in Edward Winter's book *Capablanca*.

Notes by Capablanca, translated by Edward Winter

□ Capablanca, José R
 ■ Spencer, R.J.
 Winnipeg Simul, 15.05.1912
 Four Knights Opening [C49]

1.e4 e5 2.විf3 විc6 3.විc3 විf6 4. 2 b5 2 b4 5.0-0 0-0 6.d3 d6 7. 2 g5 2 xc3 8.bxc3 විe7

Nowadays 8.... We7 is more fashionable.

9.②h4 �ah8

This defence does not seem good to me.

10.f4 exf4 11.gxf6 gxf6



A necessary move in order to be able to bring the Bishop to d3.

14...ĝe6 15.\fracefi3

White threatens mate in 16: 16.^(a)/_bxh7+ $\dot{\Phi}$ xh7 17.^(b)/_bh4 18.^(b)/_bxh4+ $\dot{\Phi}$ g6 19.^(b)/_bh6+ $\dot{\Phi}$ g5 20.^(b)/_b4+ $\dot{\Phi}$ g4 21.^(b)/_be3+ fxe3 (21... $\dot{\Phi}$ g3 22.^(b)/_bf1+ $\dot{\Phi}$ g4 23.^(b)/_bh2+ $\dot{\Phi}$ g3 24.^(b)/_bf1 \dot{g} c4 25.^(b)/_bf2 \dot{g} e2 26.^(b)/_gxe2 ...any 27.^(b)/_bf3#) 22.^(b)/_bf1



22...&c4 23.&xc4 d5 24.&e2+ &ds3 25. Ξ f3+ ds4 26.dsh2 $\textcircledarrowdef{abc}def{$

15...친f8 16.罝xf4 罝g5 17.凹h6 d5 18.疐d3 c5 19.exd5 힕xd5 20.친e3 친g6 21.罝af1 뻘f8

This loses at once, but there was no way to save the game. If 21...c4, 22. 3xd5 would win easily.

22.營xf8+ 営xf8 23.奠xg6 hxg6 24.h4



And Black resigned a few moves later.
Capablanca, José R ■ Wildman, J.E.A. Winnipeg Simul, 14.05.1912



1. \$\$xe6+ \$\$xe6 2. \$\$zg7+ \$\$xg7 3. \$\$xe6+ \$\$f7 4. \$\$xh6 1-0.

Capablanca, José R ■ Amateur Winnipeg Simul, 15.05.1912



1.②e6+ 杏c8 2.營a6+ 邕b7 3.②xf8 翕b5 4.營e6+ 杏b8 5.骂ed2 營e8 6.②d7+ 查a8 7.②xc5 骂c7 8.營xe7 1-0.

A file of relevant games may be found at the B.C. Chess History website.

The author would like to acknowledge the assistance of Myron Samsin in the preparation of this article.

Attention CFC Members!

Notify the CFC if you have changed your email address! Send your new address to info@chess.ca



March 19 - 25, 2010 Holiday Inn - Skokie, IL

\$20,000 Prize Fund **Unconditionally Guaranteed!**

| (Overall): | \$3000 - 2000 - 1600 - 1400 | 0 |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---|
| (Women): | \$1000 - 600 - 400 - 200 | 0 |
| (1799 - 1600): | \$1000 - 600 - 400 - 200 | 0 |
| (1599 - 1400): | \$1000 - 600 - 400 - 200 | 0 |
| (Under 1400): | \$1000 - 600 - 400 - 200 | 0 |
| (Unrated): | \$1000 - 600 - 400 - 200 | 0 |

1st Place Winner - FIDE Master Title Top Female Player – Woman's FIDE Master Title

Hotel: Holiday Inn - \$99/night, 2 person occupancy, hot breakfast included. Mention North American Chess.

Entry Fee: \$120 until February 15; \$150 until March 15; \$200 thereafter and at door

Round Times: 3/19 - 6:30pm; 3/20 -3/21-12pm and 6:30pm; 3/22-25 - 6:30pm

Visit the tournament website for more information on this event including:

- Scholarships to Texas Tech University
- How to get free entry to this event
- Additional prizes and potential prize fund upgrades
- Travel and lodging information

Don't miss out on your chance to become a World Chess Champion! Register Today at http://www.worldchesschamps.com

Register Today at http://www.worldchesschamps.com Your ad goes here! Advertise in the CCN A great place to sell... Used chess books or equipment chess lessons your chess business Contact the editor for rates tficzere@telus.net

.....



Corus Chess 2010

The 72nd Corus Chess tournament runs from January 15-31 in Wijk aan Zee. The tournament is split into 3 groups of 14 players (GM-A, GM-B, GM-C). This year the A group is a category 19. Top ranked Magnus Carlsen heads the group in rating, but so far the star of the event is Alexi Shirov. After 8 rounds, Alexi leads by half a point with 6.



Shirov vs. Short ends in peace

Alexi won his first 5 games, finally giving up a draw to Nigel Short in round 6. Had Alexi won round 6 he would have tied the tournament record for consecutive wins held by Victor Korchnoi. He showed he was human in round 7, losing to Nakamura. Today he managed a draw against Magnus Carlsen. Both Carlsen and Kramnik are within easy striking distance, sitting half a point back at 5½. It seems curent world champion Vishi Anand can't win or lose, drawing all his games so far.

The B section is also strong and ranks as a category 16 with FIDE. Youth is the story here as 15 year old Anish Giri of the Netherlands, ranked 12^{th} in the group, leads with $6\frac{1}{2}$. His nearest rival, China's Hua Ni sits a full $1\frac{1}{2}$ back with 5.

Section C is also producing some surprises. Another 15 year old leads as US GM Ray Robson stands alone in 1^{st} with 6. Li Chao of China and Abhijeet Gupta are half a point back at $5\frac{1}{2}$.

Website: http://www.coruschess.com/index.php

2010 Canadian Open in Toronto

The CFC governors have accepted a bid by a group from Toronto to host the Canadian Open this summer. The tournament will take place from July 10-18 at the luxurious Westin Harbour Castle. The organizing committee consists of Michael Barron, Ted Winick and Brian Fiedler at this point. The format will remain a 9 round swiss in one section. MonRoi will provide live coverage during the event.

Details at www.chess.ca/misc2009/2010CANOP.pdf.

FIDE World Blitz Championship Internet Tournament

Moscow will host this event on February 18. Chessbase and Aeroflot are the major sponsors. Six preliminary tournaments will qualify 10 players each to the finals. All the preliminary tournaments, as well as the finals are played on the internet at playchess.com. The qualifying tournaments are open to everyone on the planet at no cost and you can play in all of them. The preliminaries run from January 19-28. The finals take place on January 30. The top 3 finishers of the finals qualify to the World Blitz Championship Qualifier in Moscow on February 18, all expenses paid. This tournament will be a double 9-round Swiss Blitz and will have a prize fund of \notin 40,000. Six winners will qualify to The World Blitz Championship which is scheduled for November 2010. Full details available at www.viewchess.com/fritzserver/FIDEBlitz2010/

Haitian Relief

Sometimes you have to stop for a minute and think about what is really important. The tragedy in Haiti is beyond belief, but it is real. On January 12, a major earthquake shook Haiti and left a trail of death and devastation. It is estimated that at least three million people have been affected by this disaster. Relief is pouring in from around the world but it won't stop the death of thousands upon thousands at the hands of mother nature. Hospitals lie in ruins while injury and disease will take the lives of thousands more. If you have the means to make a donation to the relief effort, please do. Donations can be made at the Canadian Red Cross. Phone 1-800-418-1111, online at www.redcross.ca/helpnow or text "REDCROSS" to 30333 to make a one time donation of \$5. You can also drop a cheque off at any Red Cross location. Cheques should be earmarked Haiti Earthquake.

Attention CFC Members! Notify the CFC if you have changed your email address! Send your new address to info@chess.ca



British Columbia

BC Active 2010

January 9-10

Vicente Lee Jr. won the prestigious BC Active Championship for a second year in a row, good for \$300. He finished clear first with 8 points out of 9, followed by Dan Scoones and Mayo Fuenteballa with 7 points. Fourth was Roman Jiganchine. 27 players participated with \$810 in prizes total. Toni Deline was the tournament director. The event was held in Vancouver.

I was the organizer of the event and also a player. I came close to beating the BC Champion in round 7, but fell short. The game was an exciting one and had spectators on their toes until the last move!

Poitras, Luc
Lee Jr., Vicente
2010 BC Active Championship, 10.01.2010
Sicilian Sozin Defence [B88]

1.e4 c5 2. ∂ f3 d6 3.d4 cxd4 4. ∂ xd4 ∂ f6 5. ∂ c3 a6 6. \hat{a} c4 e6 7. \hat{a} b3 \hat{a} e7 8.0-0 ∂ c6 9. \hat{a} e3 0-0 10. \hat{m} f3 \hat{m} c7 11. \hat{m} g3 b5 12.a3 ∂ xd4 13. \hat{a} xd4 \hat{a} b7 14.f3 \hat{m} c6 15. Ξ ad1 Ξ fd8 16. Ξ d2 a5 17. Ξ fd1 ∂ e8 18. \hat{a} h1 b4 19. \hat{a} a4 \hat{m} c8 20. \hat{a} xe8 e5 21. \hat{a} xe5 dxe5 22. Ξ xd8 \hat{a} xd8 23. \hat{a} d7 \hat{m} c5 24. ∂ d5 \hat{m} xc2 25. ∂ e3 \hat{m} e2 26. Ξ e1 \hat{m} xb2 27.axb4 a4 28. ∂ f5 \hat{a} f6 29. ∂ d6 a3 30. \hat{a} e8 \hat{m} xb4 31. \hat{a} xf7+ \hat{a} f8 32. Ξ d1 a2 33. \hat{a} xa2 Ξ xa2 34.h4 Ξ a6 35. ∂ f5 \hat{a} c8 36. ∂ xg7 Ξ d6 37. Ξ xd6 \hat{m} xd6 38. ∂ f5 \hat{a} xf5 39.exf5 \hat{m} d4 40.h5 \hat{m} e3 41. \hat{m} g4 \hat{m} e1+ 42. \hat{a} h2 \hat{m} h4+ 43. \hat{m} xh4 \hat{a} xh4 44.g3 \hat{a} g5 45.g4 h6 46. \hat{a} g2 \hat{a} e7 47. \hat{a} f2 \hat{a} d6 48. \hat{a} e2 \hat{a} c5 49. \hat{a} d3 \hat{a} d5 50. \hat{a} c3 e4 51.fxe4+ \hat{a} xe4 52.f6 \hat{a} xf6+ 53. \hat{a} d2 \hat{a} f3 54. \hat{a} e1 \hat{a} d4 55. \hat{a} f1 \hat{a} f2 56.g5 hxg5 57.h6 g4 58.h7 g3 0-1.

TD: *Toni Deline* Organizer: *Luc Poitras* Report: *http://wcjc.blogspot.com/*

2009 in BC Chess

The end of the year is often a time for reflection and a review of the events that occurred in the previous twelve months. With this in mind, here is a list of BC tournament winners for 2009, along with a few other highlights:

BC Active Championship: Vicente Lee Jr.

New Year Open: Tanraj Sohal and Dragoljub Milicevic Grand Pacific Open: Leon Piasetski, Lawrence Day, Vicente Lee Jr., Valeriya Gansvind Keres Memorial: Jack Yoos World Open: second IM norm for Bindi Cheng BC Open: Eric Hansen Labour Day Open: Sean McLaren and Daniel E. Salcedo Torekves RR, Budapest: Michael Yip NAYCC: gold medal for Janak Awatramani BC Championship: Jack Yoos UBC Thanksgiving Open: Pavel Trochtchanovitch Halloween Open: Yiming Han BC Junior Championship: Janak Awatramani Jack Taylor Memorial: Howard Wu December Open: Tanraj Sohal Source: BCCF Bulletin #181

Alberta 2010 Schleinich Memorial

January 8-10

Each year the Schleinich Memorial is held to honour the late Walter Schleinich who was a prominent chess organizer in Alberta in the 70s and 80s. I never had the opportunity to meet him, but I have read enough history about him to know that he was a dedicated organizer in Alberta for years.

The Schleinich is run as a six player sectional round robin. This year we could only put together two full sections for a total of twelve players. The attendance was low because it was advertised very late. Another factor might have been the proximity of this tournament to the University Battle of Alberta which was played on the following weekend.



Schleinich Section B winner Adie Todd

Section A was CFC and FIDE rated. Brad Willis of Edmonton and Thomas Kaminski of Calgary split top honours with 4/5. Brad won their individual encounter so is the true winner of the section, going undefeated throughout. Calgary's Artur Wojtas finished 3rd, putting in a strong performance.

Section B was hotly contested. Adie Todd of Calgary played impressive chess to capture clear 1^{st} with 4.5/5, giving up only half a point to 2^{nd} place finisher, me! I finished with 3.5/5. Phil Holmstrom of Edmonton and Chris Kuczaj of Calgary split 3^{rd} and 4^{th} at 2.5/5.

TD & Report: Tony Ficzere

University Battle of Alberta

January 16-17

The Calgary Chess Club hosted a very successful battle this year. Simon Ong organized and directed and as usual, the tournament hall was nicely decorated for the players. University teams registered from the Universities of Alberta, Calgary and Lethbridge. The community teams were from the Calgary Chess Club, Calgary Junior Chess Club and the Edmonton Chess Club. This was a new idea for 2010, introduced by Simon. The formula seemed to work as there were 47 players this time out, compared to 35 last year.

The format was one large Swiss system. I believe the first two rounds were run using accelerated pairings. Time control was game in 90 minutes plus a 30 second increment.

Individual winners were as follows:

1st: Dan Kazmaier, 4.5/5 2nd: Aaron Sequillion, 4/5 FM Vladimir Pechenkin, 4/5 Avelino Angelo Tolentino, 4/5 Best performance by a player on a community team went to Roy Yearwood with 3.5/5. Avelino would have won this prize, but players are only allowed to win one prize. Roy donated his winnings to the prize fund for next year's University Battle of Alberta. Top U1700: Adie Todd and Chris Kuczaj, 3.5/5 1st Unrated: Nicholas Selebaleng, 3/5 2nd Unrated: Shishir Shivare, 2.5/5 3rd Unrated: Nick Todd, 2/5 Best Junior: Yoekai Wang who also scored 4/5, losing to Dan Kazmaier in the last round. Top U1000 Junior: Patrick Angelo Tolentino (aka Alberta Grade 1 champion), 1.5/5 Top team overall went to the Calgary Chess Club, while the top University team prize went to the University of Alberta TD & Organizer: Simon Ong Report: Tony Ficzere

Internet Match: Calgary Chess Club vs. Brantford Chess Club

January 23

The two clubs had been planning an internet match for months. The match took place on 4 boards with an average rating between 1670-1680. Play took place on FICS (Free Internet Chess Server). Captain Rob Gashgarian (gashman) put together the crew from Brantford, while I, Captain Tony Ficzere (fritzer) assembled the Calgary team. There were absolutely no technical problems for the entire match, a relief as this was our biggest concern. Most of us were not all that familiar with the FICS interface that we used (Babaschess), but it worked perfectly.

Brantford won the first half of the match 3-1. Rob defeated me on board one. I came out of the opening OK, but around move 20, a thought crept through my mind. For some reason, I pictured Rob playing in his underwear (totally possible). This undoubtebly had an affect on my play and I blundered on the very next move.

On board two, Calgary's Bob Macfie went down in flames against Lee Hendon. Bob's position in the late opening/ early middle game looked quite good, but Bob fell apart for some unknown reason, giving up a pawn, and eventually the game.

Board three was won by Brantford junior, Adam Cormier. Adam defeated Tom McKay handily.

Board four had Calgary's only victory of the match when Nicholas Sebelabeng easily out-matched Tyler Ensor. The rest of the Calgary team was very happy that Nicholas showed up!

Tyler was quick to point out that he was sharpening his knife for the rematch. I quickly pointed out that you shouldn't bring a knife to a gun fight. Then, when Brantford's board 3 won (Adam Cormier), they were quick to point out that you shouldn't bring a gun to a tank fight! Good point.

The match will be CFC rated. At stake is \$5,000 in Monopoly money. The losing team must send the cash (in the mail) to the winning team. The second half of the match will take place in late February. Calgary must score at least 3 wins to pull even. The rules for tie breaks have not been worked out yet.

I know all of us in Calgary enjoyed the match and look forward to the 2^{nd} half. We've already received an email by another club looking to get into the action. I'm surprised more internet events like this haven't taken place. Calgary will certainly be doing this more often.

Report: Tony Ficzere

| Ontario | grouping to c8–d8 or d8–e8. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hart House Holidays Open | 16.dxe5 c5 17.鬯b1 盒b8 | | | |
| December 18-20 | Black does not sense the coming disaster. ∩17ĝc7. | | | |
| Here are the winners: | 18.¤xd7!! 뺄xd7 19.②g5 | | | |
| Open 1 st : IM Nikolay Noritsyn, 4.5 | Threatening to mate on h7 and to take a Bishop on a8. 19g6 20.彙xa8 營d8 21.②f3 邕e7 22.彙d5 邕fe8 23.h4 營d7 24.營e4 | | | |
| 2 nd -3 rd : IM Leonid Gerzhoy and Andrei Moffat, 4.0 Top U2300 prize: Wajdy Shebetah and Nikita Gusev | White has a material advantage and a dominating position. 1-0. | | | |
| U1800 1 st : Jim Zhao 4.5 2 nd -3 rd : Richard Yam, Mike Ivanov and Travis Li, 4.0 | Again the last round game with all tension and willingness to win. | | | |
| U1500 1 st : Andrian Botescu, 5.0 2 nd : Qiang Li, 4.0 3 rd : James Denis and Dylan Martin, 3.5 Top Unrated: Miles Duggal | Notes by Egidijus Zeromskis □ Samsonkin, Artiom (2614) ■ Gerzhoy, Leonid (2572) Hart House Holiday Open Toronto (5.2), 20.12.2009 | | | |
| The Team Competition was a share of YoYos (Yelizaveta | Sicilian Taimanov [B47] | | | |
| Orlova, Alexander Martchenko, Arthur Calugar and Dalia Kagramanov) and ARBYS (Artiom Samsonkin, Richard Yam, Roman Sapozhnikov & Robert Bzikot). | 1.e4 c5 2.21f3 e6 3.223 20c6 4.d4 cxd4 5.22xd4 빨c7 6.21db5 뺄b8 7.22e3 20f6 8.22d3 a6 9.20d4 22e7 10.0-0 0-0 11.25h1 d5 12.f4 dxe4 13.20xe4 20d5 14.20xc6 bxc6 15.22c1 f5 16.22g3 22d6 17.22e2 c5 18.c4 20f6 19.b3 22b7 20.22b2 20g4 21.127 22.h3 20f6 23.128ad1 128ad8 | | | |
| Egidijus Zeromskis | 24.營e3 營f7 25.奠e5 營g6 26.營f2 奠xe5 27.fxe5 创h5 28.查 h2 營g5 29.邕g1 營e7 30.b4!? | | | |
| | White tries to intercept the initiative. | | | |
| | 30cxb4 31.營b6 | | | |
| | Black will need to defend the e6 pawn. | | | |
| | 31g5 32.2d4 2g7 | | | |
| | Black may defend with 32②f4 33.g3 ②xd3 34.\approxxd3 \overline e4 35.\approxd2 \approxfield fe8 36.\approxd2 gd1 (36.\approxa6 \approxxd4! 37.\approxxd4 \approxd4 \approxd2 a8!) 36\approxfield for the position. | | | |
| | 33.韋c2 罩b8 34.c5 韋d5 35.彎d6 響xd6?! | | | |
| Nikolay Noritsyn (left) being congratulated by Alex Ferreira When asked about the tournament, Nikolay told us that it | The Queen exchange gives White a very strong pair of advanced pawns. It is better to avoid it and to exploit the vulnarable Queen on d6 with 35 @a7. | | | |
| was an easy one. This last round game shows how with simple moves, White wins. Of course, you must be a mas- | 36.exd6! 舀fc8 37.違a4 | | | |
| ter to find the "simple" moves! | An interesting variation is 37.②xe6 逸xe6 38.d7 営d8 (38 逸xd7 39.営xd7 営xc5 40. 逸b3+ 空h8 41.営e1) 39.c6 ②e8 40.営ge1. | | | |
| Notes by Egidijus Zeromskis D Noritsyn, Nikolay (2532) | 37Ξxc5 38.Ξc1 Ξc3 39.Ξxc3 bxc3 40.Ξc1 Ξb2? | | | |
| ■ Szalay, Karoly (2346) Hart House Holiday Open, Toronto, (5.1), 20.12.2009 | Good only to draw. Better is 40e5 giving e6 to the Knight. | | | |
| Catalan [E01] | 41.d7 邕xg2+ 42.空h1 ½-½. | | | |
| 1.c4 e6 2.g3 d5 3.ዿ2 වf6 4.වf3 ዿd6 5.0-0 c6 6.d4 වbd7 7.වc3 0-0 8.땔c2 땔e7 9.b3 b6 10.e4 dxe4 11.වxe4 වxe4 12.xe4 ዿb7 13.르e1 莒ae8 14.ዿb2 ዿa8 15.트ad1 | TD: Bryan Lamb Organizer: Alex Ferreira Report: Egidijus Zeromskis | | | |
| e5? | | | | |

Coming Events



British Columbia

For complete information on chess in BC visit... www.chess.bc.ca

Junior Events

February 20 **Chess Challenge Vancouver Regional** February 28 Chess Challenge Fraser Valley Regional February 28 Victoria Regional CYCC

Visit the BC website for details on these junior events.

UBC Thursday Night Swiss

Time: 6:30pm, Thursdays Site: Irving K Barber Learning Center room 191, University of British Columbia, 1961 East Mall V6T 1Z1 Contact Aaron Cosenza: xramis1@yahoo.ca

Victoria Active Fundraiser for the 2010 Olympiad

Dates: Feb 20 Place: Victoria Chess Club 1724 Douglas St, Victoria, BC. Rounds: 5

Times: Sat. 10:00 am, 11:15 am, 1:30 pm, 2:45 pm, 4:00 pm

Type: Swiss (Active)

Time Controls: Game/25 with 5 sec. increment. Entry: \$10 if registered and paid by Monday Feb. 15, \$11 if registered only by Feb 20, \$13 otherwise

Registration: Contact us at

VictoriaChessClub@gmail.com. You can also register in person at the Victoria Chess Club with Roger Patterson. On site registration ends at 9:30 on Saturday. Registering after 9:30 does not guarantee a normal Swiss Pairing.

Organizer: Paul Leblanc and Roger Patterson **TD**: Paul Leblanc

Misc.: Rated by the Victoria Chess Club. No chess membership fees required. Equipment provided. At least 85% of entries go to the 2010 Olympiad Team

March Active Date: Sunday March 28, 2010 Place: Vancouver Bridge Centre, 2776 East Broadway (at Kaslo), Vancouver Rounds:6 Round Times: 11:00am Rd 2-6 12:20, 1:30 Lunch 2:55, 4:00, 5:05 Type: Regular Swiss. Time Controls: G/25 + 5 sec. increments or G/30. Entry Fee: \$20 Prizes 1st \$150 2nd \$100 BU2000 \$100 BU1700 \$100 Biggest Upset \$30 Based on 30 entries Registration: On site at 10:30am or contact Luc Poitras at (778) 846-0496 email queluc@lynx.net Bring vour chess set and clock if you have one. No membership required 4th Annual Grand Pacifc Open Dates: April 2-5, Easter 2010, Victoria \$4000 in guaranteed Prizes Location: Hotel Grand Pacific, 463 Belleville St, Victoria, BC Round Times: Fri. 6:00pm, Sat. 12:00 / 6:00pm, Sun. 12:00 / 6:00pm, Mon. 10:00am Time Control: Game in 90 minutes plus 30 second per move increment. Entry Fees: Open: \$70 by Mar. 1, \$80 by Apr. 1, \$90 on site. U1400: \$50 by Mar. 1, \$60 by Apr. 1, \$70 on site. Non-CFC members add \$16 for CFC Tournament Membership Prizes: \$1,000/\$600/\$400 U2000 \$400/\$300. U1700 \$350/\$250 U1400 \$350/\$250 Upset \$100 **Registration:** on line at www.grandpacificopen.com or by cheque payable to Victoria Junior Chess Society. Mail to Brian Raymer, 2386 Dalhousie St., Victoria, BC V8R 2H6 **Side Events:**Free to GPO players, \$10 otherwise for an all side event pass. Scholastic, Active, Midnight Blitz, Bughouse Transportation: Clipper jet boat from Seattle and Coho ferry from Port Angeles both dock across the street from the playing site. Round times are set up to match the sailing schedule. The Pacific Coach Lines bus terminal is two blocks away. Misc: Sets, boards and clocks provided. Special \$99 room rate at Hotel Grand Pacific 1-800-663-7550 (rate code "Chess2010") See website for further details and side events. FIDE and CFC rated. BCCF membership included in entry fee. For Full Details see: www.grandpacificopen.com 35th Annual Keres Memorial Dates: May 22-24, Victoria Day weekend 2010, Van-

couver

\$4000 in guaranteed Prizes

For Full Details see: www.keresmemorial.pbworks.com



Alberta

For complete information on chess in Alberta visit... www.albertachess.org

2010 Trumpeter Classic

January 30-31, 2010 Sandman Hotel 9805 100 St, Grande Prairie Alberta (780-513-5555) 5 round swiss, CFC rated TC: Game in 90 + 30 seconds from move 1 Times: Sat. 10am, 2:30pm, 7pm, Sun. 9am, 1:30pm

\$700 Prize Fund plus door prizes!

Entry: Free for GMs & IMs & defending champion. \$40 adult, \$30 junior Register: 8:45am to 9:45am Saturday

Special event: **Friday Night Speed Tournament** starting at 8pm at the Sandman Hotel. Game in 5 minutes. \$10 entry fee, register 7pm to 7:45pm on site. Limit of 10 players (round robin)



\$200 Prize Fund Guaranteed 1st \$100 2nd \$60 3rd \$40

This is a *Road Warrior* Event! For more info visit: www.gpchessclub.com

2010 Alberta Youth Chess Championship

Open to Albertan Juniors born in 1992 or later (17 & under) CFC & FIDE Rating

Date: February 13-14

Chief Arbiter: Simon Ong

Registration: \$25. CFC required, can be purchase on site. **Place:** Calgary Chess Club, #274, 3359-27 Street N.E. (403) 264-9498

Format: 5 rounds, Swiss or Round Robin. Sections with less than 8 players may be combined at the Arbiter's discretion. If there is only **one** player for that age section, then that player will **win by default** but is still required to play 5 rounds.

Time Control: Game 90 minutes + 30 seconds per move **Section by age:**

Born in 1992-1993 U18 Born in 1994-1995 U16 Born in 1996-1997 U14 Born in 1998-1999 U12 Born in 2000-2001 U10 Born in 2002 or later U8

All players MUST PRE-REGISTER by email by February 1st **NO NEW ENTRIES will be accepted on site** Email: simong89@gmail.com RESERVE YOUR SPOT NOW!

Visit www.albertachess.org/2010_AYCC.html for details

2010 Northern Alberta Open

February 20-21 Edmonton Chess Club, 10840 124 St. Phone: 780-424-0283 **Tournament Director: TBA** 5 Round Swiss, CFC & FIDE rated CFC membership is required and available at the door \$41 adults, \$27 juniors Entry fee: \$30 Registration: 9:00-9:45 AM Saturday, Feb. 20 Round times: Saturday, 10 am, 2 pm, 6 pm. Sunday, 10 am, 2:30 pm Time controls: Saturday: G/90 + 30 seconds Sunday: G/110 + 30 seconds Prize fund: Guaranteed \$600 in prizes. More prizes if there are 25+ paid entries Bonus prize: \$100 for a perfect score!!! Miscellaneous: The winner qualifies for the 2010 Alberta

Chess Championship (if an Alberta Resident) Limit of 60 players so register early to guarantee your spot!



This is a **Road Warrior** event!

Visit www.albertachess.org/2010_NAO.html for details

2010 March of Kings

March 6-7 Calgary Chess Club, 274 3359 27th St. NE 5 Round Swiss, CFC & FIDE Rated, ONE SECTION CFC membership required, can be purchased on site **TD: Tony Ficzere** Time Control: Game in 90 + 30 second increment Round Times: Saturday 10 am 2:30 pm 7 pm Sunday 10 a.m. & ASAP after round 4 Byes: Maximum 2 half point byes available in first 3 rounds if notified before start of round 1 Prizes: Entries less expenses Entry Fee: Adult \$30, Junior (<18) \$25. Free IM's & GMs. Registration: Advanced entries encouraged. Email tficzere@telus.net or phone 403-971-2437 On-site Registration: Saturday, March 6, 9 am to 9:45 am Advanced entries must check in at registration desk by 9:45 am or you will not be paired for first round! CASH ONLY AT SITE, NO CHEQUES



This is a *Road Warrior* event

Visit www.albertachess.org/2010_March_of_Kings.html for details

Battle at the Bords September 4-6, Lloydminster AB

GM Hikaru Nakamura GM Pascal Charbonneau M Yan Teplitski



Stay tuned for details



Ontario

For complete information on chess in Ontario visit... www.chessontario.com

London January Open

City: London Date: January 30, 2010 Place: Hillside Church (250 Commissioners Rd. East) Rds: 4 Start Time: Saturday 10am Type: Swiss, Standard Rated TC: 1 Hour Sudden Death

EF: \$20

Prizes: \$\$BEN\$\$

Reg: 9:30am - 9:55am

Misc: Free parking in back of church. Bring clocks and sets. For more information contact Steve Demmery (519-642-1012) or E-Mail: **chesslessons@mail.com**

London February Open

City: London Date: February 27, 2010 Place: Hillside Church (250 Commissioners Rd. East) Rds: 4 Start Time: Saturday 10am Type: Swiss, Standard Rated TC: 1 Hour Sudden Death EF: \$20 Prizes: \$\$BEN\$\$ Reg: 9:30am - 9:55am Misc: Free parking in back of church. Bring clocks and sets. For more information contact Steve Demmery (519-642-1012) or E-Mail: chesslessons@mail.com

London March Open

City: London Date: March 27, 2010 Place: Hillside Church (250 Commissioners Rd. East) Rds: 4 Start Time: Saturday 10am Type: Swiss, Standard Rated TC: 1 Hour Sudden Death EF: \$20 Prizes: \$\$BEN\$\$ Reg: 9:30am - 9:55am Misc: Free parking in back of church. Bring clocks and sets. For more information contact Steve Demmery (519-642-1012) or E-Mail: chesslessons@mail.com

RA Spring Open

Ottawa March 12-14, 2010 Details TBA

McIntosh Open

Morrisburg April 10=11, 2010 Details TBA

Arnprior Open

Arnprior May 1-2, 2010 Details TBA

Eastern Ontario Open

Ottawa June 11-13, 2010 Details TBA

For complete details on the EOCA schedule, visit www.eoca.org/index.html



Viktar Chuprys Memorial

City: Mississauga Dates: Thursday, January 28th to March 4th, 2010 Place: Mississuaga Chess Club Contact: chessking123@hotmail.com Rds: 6 Times: Each Thursday 8pm to 11pm Type: Swiss, Standard Rated TC: G/90 EF: \$50 for non-club members Prizes: \$\$BEN\$\$ Sponsor: Gideon Travel & Tours Ltd http://www.gideontravel.com.

Guelph Winter Pro-Am

City: Guelph Contact: halbond@sympatico.ca Date: February 6-7, 2010 Place: Room 442, Guelph University Centre **Rds:** 5 Times: 10am, 1:30pm, 6pm / 10am, 2pm Type: Swiss TC: Rd1 G/60 + 30 sec, Rd 2-5 G/90 + 30 sec EF: Pro \$55, others \$35 Prizes: \$50 per player returned as prizes in Pro Section, Trophies in amateur sections **Reg:** Register by email halbond@sympatico.ca or send cheque to Hal Bond, #205 - 105 Conroy Crescent, Guelph ON N1G 2V5, \$10 late fee to register on site. Misc: Sections: Pro (FIDE rated), U2000, U1700, U1400. Rating must be within 100 points of section floor to play up. Snacks, all equipment provided. A SWOCL Grand Prix event.

Hamilton Winter Open

City: Hamilton Date: March 13th & 14th Place: Hamilton Wentworth District School Board, 100 Main St. West Rds: 5 Sections: Open, U1900, and Juniors Times: 9:30am, 2pm, 6pm; 11am, 3:30pm Type: Swiss, Standard Rated TC: G/80 + 30 sec inc EF: \$45, Juniors \$15. Email us by March 12th to receive \$5 discount Prizes: \$\$BEN\$\$ Org: Garvin Nunes, Bob Gillanders, Joe Ellis, Gordon Gooding, Mikhail Egorov Misc: E-Mail your questions to: chesshamilton@gmail.com Or visit

CMA February Active

City: Toronto

Contact: bevand@chess-math.org

Date: February 6th, 2010

Place: Strategy Games, 701 Mt Pleasant Road (South of Eglinton) Province: ON

http://chess.servegame.com/Hamilton for information updates.

Rds: 5

Type: Swiss

Times: 10am, 11:15am, 1:15pm, 2:30pm, 3:45pm, 5pm **TC:** 25 minutes plus 5 seconds

EF: \$20 by Jan 31st, 2010; \$10 more after that date.

Prizes: \$400 in prizes. All prizes are gift certificates redeemable at Strategy Games. OPEN: 1st \$125, 2nd \$75, 3rd \$50; U1800: 1st \$75, 2nd \$50, 3rd \$25

Reg: Send entries payable to Larry Bevand, c/o Strategy Games, 701 Mt Pleasant Rd, Toronto, ON M4S 2P4 **Misc:** 2 sections: OPEN & U1800. 24 places available. Register early. Information (416) 486-3395. All equipment is supplied.

CMA February Blitz

City: Toronto Contact: bevand@chess-math.org Date: February 7th, 2010 Place: Strategy Games, 701 Mt Pleasant Road (South of Eglinton) Province: ON Rds: 6 Type: Swiss

Times: Begins at 1pm. Rounds as players finish. **TC:** 5 minutes per player plus 5 second increment **EF:** \$15 **Prizes:** \$300 in gift certificates at Strategy Games. 2 sections.

OPEN: 1st \$100, 2nd \$50, 3rd \$30. U1900: 1st \$60, 2nd \$40, 3rd \$20 **Reg:** Send entries payable to Larry Bevand, c/o Strategy Games, 701 Mt Pleasant Rd, Toronto, ON M4S 2P4 **OR** At site between 12pm and 12:40pm

Misc: Limited to 24 players. For more Information call (416) 486-3395. All equipment is supplied.

Attention CFC Members!

Notify the CFC if you have changed your email address! Send your new address to info@chess.ca



Québec

Pour la information de echec dans le Québec regarde... http://fqechecs.qc.ca/index.php

NORTH AMERICAN YOUTH CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP AUGUST 16-18, 2010

sanctioned by FIDE (World Chess Federation) rated by FIDE, CFC, CMA Players from Canada, USA, and Mexico will take part. PART OF CHESS'N MATH 25th ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATIONS MORE INFORMATION NEXT ISSUE



Prince Edward Island

2010 UPEI Spring Active

City: Charlottetown Contact: fred_mckim@hotmail.com Date: Saturday March 6th, 2009 Place: UPEI, Kelley Building, Room 210 Province: PE Rds: 5 Type: Swiss Times: 11am, 12pm, 2pm, 3pm, 4pm TC: G/30 EF: \$15, \$10 (students) Prizes: Cash prizes (All entries minus CFC Rating Fees) Reg: Pre-register prior to March 5th for above rates. Misc: Storm Day = March 7th. http://sjchess.ca/mcc/upeisact10.html

Register your tournament on the CFC website Its FREE!

Go to

www.chess.ca/tournaments.htm to submit your event

They won't come if they don't know about it!

February specials from the CFC Equipment Store

January specials from the CFC Equipment Store



DGT North American

The brand-new DGT North American clock uses

10 built-in algorithms to give a complete range of timing choices, plus elegant design to sat-

isfy the most demanding chess player. Even multi-period delay settings are easy! Quick-set options

permit instant set-up. Manual programming options are fast and intuitive and are automatically stored in memory. Of course our new digital timer is a superb chess clock, but it also times any two-person board game—like go, shogi, checkers, and Scrabble©. All the bells and whistles, together with DGT's reputation for quality and accuracy!

Regular: \$55 Sale: \$50 Buy 2 or more for \$45 each!

DGT 2010

This is DGT's best selling chess clock. This is the official clock as certified by FIDE! This clock replaced the DGT 2000 and is easier to program. New improved lever - buzzer feature - more timing options

Regular: \$85 Sale: \$75



DGT XL



Has all the same options as the DGT 2010 plus a few extras: it has the option to save and retrieve five user defined settings, and it connects to the DGT electronic chessboard. Burgandy only

Regular: \$110 Sale: \$100

DGT 960

Automatically generates random 960 positions. Ease of use and programming is extremely easy! Also has a variety of preprogrammed time controls. **Regular: \$45** Sale: \$40



Total Chess Complete chess set with analog clock, vinyl board and weighted pieces from the Weighted Chess Set, Scorebook and padded carrying bag. There are colour choices for the clock, the board and the bag.

Regular: \$50 Sale: \$45

Total Chess PlusSame as Complete Chess but has the DGT 960clock instead of an analog clock.Regular: \$65Sale: \$58.50



Visit http://members.chess.ca/store/





- Canadian Made
- Solid & Heavy
- 1¹/₂" wide ribbon
- Excellent Quality!

Regular price: \$4.50 Sale price: \$3.50



actual size 2.5" ಟ್ರಾ multidepth

Tournament directors stock up now!

Visit http://members.chess.ca/store/

RATINGS

| Top Canadian FIDE Ratings | | | Top Canadian CFC Rated | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | Kovalyov, Anton | QC | 2601 | - | 38 |
| 2 | Spraggett, Kevin | ON N | 2586 | | 34 |
| 3 | Bluvshtein, Mark | ON | 2583 | , | 08 |
| 4 | Le Siège, Alexandre | QC | 2528 | | 06 |
| 5 | Charbonneau, Pascal | QC | 2509 | | 90 |
| 6 | Tyomkin, Dimitry | ON | 2497 | | 48 |
| 7 | Roussel-Roozmon, Thomas | QC | 2488 | | 04 |
| 8 | Sambuev, Bator | ON | 2473 | | .94 |
| 9 | Zugic, Igor | ON | 2462 | | .94 |
| 10 | Gerzhoy, Leonid | ON | 2459 | A · | 84 |
| 11 | Biyiasis, Peter | BC | 2450 | , | -84 |
| 12 | Teplitsky, Yan | ON | 2448 | | -66 |
| 13 | Porper, Edward | AB | 2431 | | 62 |
| 14 | Suttles, Duncan | BC | 2420 | | -60 |
| 15 | Hébert, Jean | QC | 2418 | - | -60 |
| 16 | Pelts, Roman | ÔN | 2417 | • | 44 |
| 17 | Quan, Zhe | ON | 2416 | | -28 |
| 18 | Hartman, Brian | ON | 2409 | 18 Cummings, David ON 24 | 27 |
| 19 | Krnan, Tomas | ON | 2408 | | 26 |
| 20 | Adam, Dr. Valerian | BC | 2407 | | 12 |
| 21 | Hansen, Eric | AB | 2406 | - | -00 |
| 22 | Kriventsov, Stanislav | BC | 2406 | 22 Sapozhnikov, Roman ON 23 | 98 |
| 23 | Panjwani, Raja | ON | 2401 | | 96 |
| 24 | Samsonkin, Artiom | ON | 2399 | - | 90 |
| 25 | Noritsyn, Nikolay | ON | 2394 | 25 Gicev, Blagoj AB 23 | 86 |
| 26 | Teodoro IV, Eduardo D. | ON | 2387 | 26 Sasata, Robert SK 23 | 84 |
| 27 | Hergott, Deen | ON | 2385 | 27 Pechenkin, Vladimir AB 23 | 80 |
| 28 | Yoos, John C. (Jack) | BC | 2375 | 28 Hamilton, Robert ON 23 | 70 |
| 29 | Lawson, Eric | QC | 2373 | 29 Barron, Michael ON 23 | 68 |
| 30 | O'Donnell, Tom | ON | 2370 | 30 Plotkin, Victor ON 23 | 62 |
| 31 | Micic, Chedomir | ON | 2366 | 31 Pacey, Kevin ON 23 | 60 |
| 32 | Cummings, David | ON | 2360 | 32 Micic, Chedomir ON 23 | 58 |
| 33 | Tayar, Jonathan | ON | 2356 | 33 Szalay, Karoly ON 23 | 56 |
| 34 | Amos, Bruce | ON | 2355 | 34 Calugar, Arthur ON 23 | 50 |
| 35 | Glinert, Stephen | ON | 2349 | 35 Divljan, Igor ON 23 | 49 |
| 36 | Livshits, Ron | ON | 2336 | 36 Lee Jr., VicenteBC23 | 44 |
| 37 | Pechenkin, Vladimir | AB | 2335 | 37 Martchenko, AlexanderON23 | 42 |
| 38 | Gicev, Blagoj | AB | 2325 | 38 Jiang, Louie QC 23 | 38 |
| 39 | Coudari, Camille | QC | 2325 | 39 Bailey, Doug ON 23 | |
| 40 | Thavandiran, Shiyam | ON | 2323 | - | 32 |
| 41 | Stone, Raymond | ON | 2321 | | 32 |
| 42 | Vranesic, Zvonko | ON | 2320 | | 30 |
| 43 | Jiang, Louie | QC | 2312 | e , , , , | 28 |
| 44 | Milicevic, Goran | ON | 2288 | 44 Haessel, Dale AB 23 | |
| 45 | Piasetski, Leon | BC | 2285 | ÷ 6 | 24 |
| 46 | Hamilton, Robert | ON | 2285 | - | 20 |
| 47 | Sasata, Robert | SK | 2279 | | 15 |
| 48 | Day, Lawrence | ON | 2279 | | 10 |
| 49 | Duong, Thanh Nha | QC | 2278 | | 06 |
| 50 | Selick, Paul | ON | 2275 | 50 Puri, VinnyON23 | 06 |

| Top Female | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Gansvind, Valeria | B | 2 | 2332 | | | |
| 2 | Yuan, Yuanling | | | | | | |
| 3 | Khoudgarian, Natalia | ON | | 2244 | | | |
| 4 | Kagramanov, Dina | Ol | N | 2218 | | | |
| 5 | Starr, Nava | Ol | N | 2116 | | | |
| 6 | Lacau-Rodean, Iulia | Ol | N | 2056 | | | |
| 7 | Kagramanov, Dalia | Ol | N | 2012 | | | |
| 8 | Orlova, Yelizaveta | Ol | N | 1992 | | | |
| 9 | Du, Jasmine | N | 5 | 1973 | | | |
| 10 | Xiong, Sonja | Ol | N | 1930 | | | |
| 11 | Kalaydina, Regina-Veronicka | Al | 3 | 1899 | | | |
| 12 | Nadeau, Gabrielle | Ol | N | 1897 | | | |
| 13 | Botez, Alexandra | B | 2 | 1893 | | | |
| 14 | Chichkina, Olya | Ol | N | 1885 | | | |
| 15 | Serbanescu, Natasa | Ol | N | 1880 | | | |
| 16 | Todd, Adie | Al | 3 | 1728 | | | |
| 17 | Peng, Jackie | Ol | Ň | 1660 | | | |
| 18 | Powell, Samantha | ON | | 1646 | | | |
| | Szucs, Nadia | ON | | 1633 | | | |
| 20 | Xiao, Alice | B | 2 | 1580 | | | |
| | Top Under 20 y | years | | | | | |
| 1 | Noritsyn, Nikolay | 19 | ON | 2548 | | | |
| 2 | Panjwani, Raja | 20 | ON | 2466 | | | |
| 3 | Quan, Zhe | 20 | ON | 2462 | | | |
| 4 | Kaminski, Victor | 19 | AB | 2315 | | | |
| 5 | Vincent, Trevor | 20 | MB | 2304 | | | |
| 6 | Davies, Noam | 19 | BC | 2212 | | | |
| 7 | Oussedik, Elias | 19 | NB | 2094 | | | |
| 8 | McDonald, Justin | 19 | ON | 2004 | | | |
| 9 | Perelman, Leon | 20 | ON | 1999 | | | |
| 10 | Du, Jasmine | 19 | NS | 1973 | | | |
| | Top Under 18 | years | | | | | |
| 1 | Kovalyov, Anton | 18 | QC | 2638 | | | |
| 2 | Hansen, Eric | 18 | AB | 2484 | | | |
| 3 | Thavandiran, Shiyam | 18 | ON | 2460 | | | |
| 4 | Szalay, Karoly | 17 | ON | 2356 | | | |
| 5 | Martchenko, Alexander | 17 | ON | 2330 | | | |
| 6 | Jiang, Louie | 17 | QC | 2342 | | | |
| | | | - | | | | |
| 7 | MacKinnon, Keith | 17 | SK | 2290 | | | |
| 8 | Sundar, Avinaash | 17 | ON ON | 2228 | | | |
| 9 | Rakov, Pavel | 17 | ON | 2212 | | | |
| 10 | Me, Kevin | 18 | SK | 2189 | | | |

| Top Under 16 years | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----|----|------|--|--|
| 1 | Sapozhnikov, Roman | 16 | ON | 2398 | | |
| 2 | Calugar, Arthur | 16 | ON | 2350 | | |
| 3 | Yuan, Yuanling | 16 | ON | 2324 | | |
| 4 | Xiong, Jerry | 16 | ON | 2304 | | |
| 5 | Kaminski, Thomas | 15 | AB | 2226 | | |
| 6 | Marinkovic, Mate | 15 | ON | 2192 | | |
| 7 | Gusev, Nikita | 15 | ON | 2190 | | |
| 8 | Kleinman, Michael | 16 | ON | 2129 | | |
| 9 | Wang, Jesse B | 16 | ON | 2095 | | |
| 10 | Inigo, Aquino | 15 | ON | 2065 | | |
| | Top Under 14 yea | rs | | | | |
| 1 | Qin, Zi Yi (Joey) | 14 | ON | 2240 | | |
| 2 | Sohal, Tanraj S. | 14 | BC | 2102 | | |
| 3 | Li, Changhe | 14 | BC | 2071 | | |
| 4 | Knox, Christopher | 13 | ON | 2062 | | |
| 5 | Leu, Richard | 14 | ON | 2036 | | |
| 6 | Fu, James | 13 | ON | 1978 | | |
| 7 | Kalra, Agastya | 13 | ON | 1917 | | |
| 8 | Wang, Yuekai | 14 | AB | 1913 | | |
| 9 | Liu, Steven H. | 13 | ON | 1912 | | |
| 10 | Zhang, Zhiyuan | 14 | QC | 1902 | | |
| | Top Under 12 yea | rs | | | | |
| 1 | Wang, Richard | 12 | AB | 2154 | | |
| 2 | Kong, Dezheng | 11 | BC | 1997 | | |
| 3 | Doknjas, John | 11 | BC | 1904 | | |
| 4 | Zhang, Kevin Z. | 12 | ON | 1820 | | |
| 5 | Song, Michael | 11 | ON | 1800 | | |
| 6 | Plotkin, Mark | 12 | ON | 1784 | | |
| 7 | Song, Guannan Terry | 12 | ON | 1780 | | |
| 8 | Swift, Ryne | 12 | MB | 1776 | | |
| 9 | Lin, Tony (Juntao) | 12 | ON | 1732 | | |
| 10 | Hui, Jeremy | 12 | BC | 1702 | | |
| | Top Under 10 yea | rs | | | | |
| 1 | Zhang, Yuanchen | 9 | ON | 1618 | | |
| 2 | Bellissimo, Joseph | 10 | ON | 1612 | | |
| 3 | Wan, Kevin | 9 | ON | 1584 | | |
| 4 | Lee, Jonah | 10 | BC | 1562 | | |
| 5 | Kassam, Jamil | 10 | AB | 1532 | | |
| 6 | Zotkin, Daniel | 9 | ON | 1504 | | |
| 7 | Lin, William | 10 | ON | 1370 | | |
| 8 | Han, Lionel | 10 | BC | 1272 | | |
| 9 | Liu, Jiaxin | 9 | ON | 1232 | | |
| 10 | Zhao, Yue Tong (Davy) | 8 | ON | 1230 | | |